

The Molecular Machinery of Neurotransmitter Release (Nobel Lecture)**

Thomas C. Südhof*

hormone secretion · membrane fusion ·
intracellular signaling · synaptic plasticity ·
vesicular transport

1. The Neurotransmitter Release Enigma

Synapses have a long history in science. Synapses were first functionally demonstrated by Emil duBois-Reymond (1818–1896), were morphologically identified by classical neuroanatomists such as Rudolf von Kölliker (1817–1905) and Ramon y Cajal (1852–1934), and named in 1897 by Michael Foster (1836–1907). Although the chemical nature of synaptic transmission was already suggested by duBois-Reymond, it was long disputed because of the incredible speed of synaptic transmission. Over time, however, overwhelming evidence established that most synapses use chemical messengers called neurotransmitters, most notable with the pioneering contributions by Otto Loewi (1873–1961), Henry Dale (1875–1968), Ulf von Euler (1905–1983), and Julius Axelrod (1912–2004). In parallel, arguably the most important advance to understanding how synapses work was provided by Bernard Katz (1911–2003) who elucidated the principal mechanism of synaptic transmission.^[1] Most initial studies on synapses were carried out on the neuromuscular junction, and central synapses have only come to fore in recent decades. Here, major contributions by many scientists, including George Palade, Rodolfo Llinas, Chuck Stevens, Bert Sakmann, Eric Kandel, and Victor Whittaker, to name just a few, not only confirmed the principal results obtained in the neuromuscular junction by Katz, but also revealed that synapses exhibit an enormous diversity of properties as well as an unexpected capacity for plasticity.

Arguably, the most important property of synaptic transmission is its speed. At most synapses, synaptic transmission lasts for only a few milliseconds. This amazing speed is crucial for the overall workings of the brain—how else could a goal keeper react to a shot in less than a second, or a ballerina pirouette without crashing to the floor? Synapses differ dramatically from each other in properties such as strength and plasticity, but always operate by the same canonical principle first elucidated by Bernard Katz. When an action potential travels down an axon, it depolarizes the nerve terminals and opens presynaptic Ca^{2+} -channels. The inflowing Ca^{2+} then triggers neurotransmitter release in less than a millisecond, with a delay of possibly less than 100 microseconds.^[2] Amazingly given this speed, presynaptic neurotransmitter release is mediated by membrane traffic. Presynaptic terminals are chock-full with synaptic vesicles—uniformly small organelles with a 35 nm diameter—that

contain high concentrations of neurotransmitters. Release is triggered when Ca^{2+} induces the rapid fusion of these vesicles with the presynaptic plasma membrane at a specialized region, the so-called active zone. The active zone is located exactly opposite to the postsynaptic density containing the neurotransmitter receptors; as a result, neurotransmitters are released directly onto their receptors (Figure 1).

The active zone is the organizing principle that ensures the speed and precision of synaptic transmission. The active zone recruits and docks synaptic vesicles at the release sites, transforms synaptic vesicles into a fusion-competent “primed” state that is responsive to Ca^{2+} -triggering of release, and tethers Ca^{2+} -channels next to the docking sites.^[3] By co-localizing Ca^{2+} -channels and primed vesicles at the synaptic cleft, the active zone enables the tight coupling of neurotransmitter release to an action potential and directs neurotransmitter release to the synaptic cleft. After exocytosis, synaptic vesicles recycle by different pathways, including fast endocytic mechanisms that are sometimes referred to as “kiss-and-run”,^[4] as well as slower endocytic mechanisms involving clathrin-coated pits (Figure 1).^[5]

Compared to presynaptic neurotransmitter release, postsynaptic neurotransmitter reception is conceptually more straightforward since it is largely mediated by transmitter binding to ligand-gated ion channels. Postsynaptic ionotropic receptors are highly developed molecular machines that are clustered opposite to the presynaptic active zone, and quickly convert an extracellular neurotransmitter signal into an intracellular ionic signal (Figure 1). The apparent simplicity of postsynaptic mechanisms, however, is deceptive because postsynaptic neurotransmitter receptors are subject to complex regulatory processes, including vesicular trafficking, that are incompletely understood. Moreover, postsynaptic signal-transduction pathways are organized in a sophisticated and compartmentalized manner that differs between various types of synapses. Considering the simple yet complex

[*] Prof. T. C. Südhof

Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology and Howard Hughes Medical Institute
Lorry Lokey SIM1 Building 07-535 Room G1021
265 Campus Drive, Stanford University School of Medicine, CA 94305 (USA)

[**] Copyright© The Nobel Foundation 2013. We thank the Nobel Foundation, Stockholm, for permission to print this lecture.




Figure 1. The synaptic vesicle cycle: Synaptic vesicles undergo a membrane trafficking cycle in presynaptic terminals that mediates neurotransmitter release. Step 1, vesicles are replenished from endosomes or by recycling after exo- and endocytosis, and filled with neurotransmitters (NT); Step 2, vesicles are transported close to the active zone of the presynaptic plasma membrane where they reside in a cluster ready to be recruited for exocytosis; Step 3, vesicles are tethered to the presynaptic active zone in a “docking” reaction that depends on the synaptic vesicle proteins Rab3/27 and the active zone protein RIM (see Figure 14); Step 4, vesicles are “primed” to render them competent for Ca^{2+} -triggered fusion; Step 5, Ca^{2+} triggers fusion-pore opening, releasing the neurotransmitters; Steps 6–8, vesicles recycle locally immediately after fusion-pore opening (6, “kiss-and-stay”), by endocytosis via a rapid pathway that is likely clathrin-independent (7, “kiss-and-run”), or by a clathrin-dependent pathway that involves an endosomal intermediate (8). Note that most of the recycling pathways were worked out in classical studies by Heuser and Reese (1973),^[5] Ceccarelli et al. (1973),^[4] and Zimmermann and Whittaker (1977).^[181] Drawing adapted from Ref. [7, 182].

canonical design of a chemical synapse, one cannot but marvel at the ingenuity of this design that enables the requisite speed and plasticity of synaptic transmission using specialized pre- and postsynaptic machineries.

When I started my laboratory in 1986, neurotransmitter release had been described in exquisite physiological detail. However, there was no mechanistic understanding, not even a hypothesis, of how synaptic vesicles might fuse, how Ca^{2+} could possibly trigger such fusion so rapidly, and how the release machinery is organized by the presynaptic active zone. No molecular component of the release machinery had been characterized, and no conceptual framework was available to explain the extraordinary plasticity and precision of Ca^{2+} -triggered release. I focused on this question, as opposed to studying postsynaptic neurotransmitter reception, because I was mesmerized by the apparent incomprehensibility of the speed of Ca^{2+} -triggered release, and intrigued by the general implications of understanding release for other membrane-trafficking reactions, such as hormone secretion.

In the following, I will provide a brief personal overview of what we found. I will present our work in the context of that of others which was indispensable for our progress, but given space constraints I will not be able to do justice to the

many important contributions made. We performed our studies as part of a larger scientific community working on this problem, and I will try to provide as balanced an account of the field as I can within my space allowance.

2. Molecular Anatomy of the Presynaptic Terminal

When we started, we chose a simple approach to the understanding of neurotransmitter release: to isolate and clone all major proteins of presynaptic terminals. Largely in collaboration with Reinhard Jahn, we first focused on synaptic vesicles because they could be obtained at high yield and purity.^[6,7] Later on, we expanded this approach to the presynaptic active zone. With these initial experiments, we aimed to assemble a molecular catalogue of presynaptic proteins as a starting point for a functional dissection of release.

The first synaptic vesicle proteins we purified and cloned were synaptophysin,^[8] cytochrome b561,^[9] synapsins,^[10] synaptobrevins^[11] (also independently cloned by R.H. Scheller and named vesicle-associated membrane protein [VAMP]^[12]), proton pump components,^[13,14] and synaptotagmins.^[15–17] In addition, we found that Rab3 proteins, the brain’s most abundant GTP-binding proteins originally identified as ras-homologous sequences,^[18] are associated with synaptic vesicles,^[19] and that Rab3 proteins cycle on and off synaptic vesicles during exocytosis.^[20]

Thus, in the beginning of the 1990s a fairly comprehensive characterization of the synaptic vesicle as an organelle had emerged (Figure 2).^[7] Subsequently, we and others cloned a series of additional vesicle proteins, including SVOP^[21] and SCAMPs.^[22] Furthermore, we expanded our attempts to molecularly characterize the release machinery to the active zone, and identified Munc18s,^[23] complexins,^[24] Munc13s,^[25] CASK,^[26] RIMs,^[27] RIM-BPs,^[28] and ELKS^[29] (independently described by Ohtsuka^[30]). These studies were complemented by those of others identifying as active-zone proteins α -liprins,^[31] bassoon^[32] and piccolo.^[33,34]

After having elucidated the primary structures of a growing number of synaptic proteins, we faced the challenge of determining their functions. We decided to examine these




Figure 2. Diagram of synaptic vesicle proteins involved in neurotransmitter release as seen in 1991: At the beginning of the effort to map the molecular anatomy of synaptic vesicles, five major classes of synaptic vesicle proteins were identified: the synapsins that were at that time thought to be major candidates for regulating neurotransmitter release,^[10] Rab3 proteins,^[19] synaptophysins,^[8] synaptotagmins,^[13] and synaptobrevins/VAMPs.^[11] Of these proteins, three classes (Rab3, synaptotagmins, and synaptobrevins) turned out to be crucial for release in subsequent studies (reproduced from Ref. [7]).

molecules broadly in an un-biased manner as systematically as possible, and used a combination of methods ranging from biochemistry and cell biology to structural biology, mouse genetics, and electrophysiology.

As I will describe in the following account, these studies enabled a new understanding of neurotransmitter release. However, not all efforts were productive, and not all abundant and conserved synaptic proteins were found to be important. For example, prominent proteins such as synapsins and synaptophysins turned out to have only ancillary roles in the synaptic vesicle cycle that may be important for the overall organism, but are not essential for the basic process of synaptic vesicle exo- and endocytosis (e.g., Rosahl et al.,^[35] Janz et al.^[36]).

In the following description, I will divide neurotransmitter release into three processes, 1) membrane fusion as the basic mechanism that mediates release by synaptic vesicle exocytosis, 2) Ca^{2+} -triggering as the key event that enables fast synaptic transmission, and 3) the spatial organization of the release machinery by the active zone that allows precise coupling of a presynaptic action potential to a postsynaptic response.

3. Mechanism of Synaptic Membrane Fusion

3.1. SNARE Proteins in Fusion

The first insights into how synaptic vesicles fuse with the presynaptic plasma membrane during neurotransmitter release came from studies of tetanus and botulinum toxins. These neurotoxins, which as disease agents cause tetanus and

botulism but also have great therapeutic value, are among the most powerful neurotoxins known.^[37] Tetanus and botulinum toxins are metalloproteases that block neurotransmitter release at nanomolar concentrations by arresting the fusion of synaptic vesicles with the presynaptic plasma membrane.

In 1992, studies in Cesare Montecucco's, Heiner Niemann's, and Reinhard Jahn's laboratories—to which we contributed—showed that tetanus toxin and botulinum B toxin block synaptic vesicle fusion by proteolytic cleavage of Synaptobrevin-2/VAMPs.^[38,39] In the following year, the same laboratories showed that other types of botulinum toxins cleave two other presynaptic membrane proteins, SNAP-25 and Syntaxin-1.^[40,41] Moreover, we demonstrated that a ubiquitously distributed synaptobrevin isoform (Cellubrevin) is also a tetanus toxin substrate, suggesting that the inhibition of vesicle fusion by tetanus toxin-dependent cleavage of Synaptobrevin-2 reflects a general function of synaptobrevin-like molecules in membrane fusion.^[42] Together, these findings provided the first, and arguably still most compelling evidence that Synaptobrevin-2, SNAP-25, and Syntaxin-

1 are essential components of the presynaptic membrane fusion machinery. As we will see now, evidence about how these proteins, later named SNARE proteins (for “soluble NSF-attachment protein receptors”), might work came from parallel studies in James Rothman's laboratory.

Rothman had been studying membrane fusion by biochemically reconstituting vesicular traffic between compartments of the Golgi apparatus.^[43] Using this assay, Rothman isolated an *N*-ethyl maleimide-sensitive factor (referred to as NSF) and NSF-adaptor proteins that attach NSF to membranes (referred to as SNAPs, an unfortunate coincidence of acronyms with SNAP-25). Both NSF and SNAPs were essential for in vitro fusion in Rothman's assay, and were found to be homologous to yeast genes involved in secretion, suggesting a fundamental function in membrane traffic.^[44,45] In a crucial study, Rothman's laboratory then used immobilized NSF and SNAPs as an affinity matrix to purify SNAP “receptors” (i.e., SNAREs) from brain because brain was the richest source of such receptors. He isolated Synaptobrevin-2, Syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25, just as these proteins were shown to be tetanus and botulinum toxin substrates.^[46] Subsequently, Rothman went on to show in collaboration with Richard Scheller that Synaptobrevin-2, Syntaxin-1, and SNAP-25 formed a complex with each other, and that this complex is dissociated by NSF which acts as an ATPase.^[47] This brilliant experiment provided an explanation for how these proteins might work in fusion, although it took many more years to formulate a compelling mechanism for their fusion function. Collaborating with Heiner Niemann, we found that SNARE complexes are SDS-resistant (SDS = sodium dodecyl sulfate) and extremely tight, and that only the SNARE complex but not individual SNARE proteins binds to SNAPs and NSF,

while only free SNARE proteins but not SNARE proteins in the complex are substrates for botulinum and tetanus toxins.^[48,49]

Viewed together, these studies suggested to us that formation of SNARE complexes between the synaptic vesicle and presynaptic plasma membranes may mediate fusion, but the mechanism of fusion was unclear. One hypothesis was that NSF and SNAPs are the actual fusion proteins, and that SNARE proteins ensure the specificity of the fusion reaction mediated by NSF and SNAPs by acting as their receptors after SNARE complexes have assembled.^[46,47] An alternative idea that we favored was motivated by the botulinum and tetanus toxin data, and stated that SNARE proteins, especially synaptobrevin, are actually directly involved in fusion, although we did not know by what mechanism.^[50]

Two subsequent key experiments clarified the question whether NSF/SNAPs or SNAREs are the actual membrane-fusion proteins. First, Bill Wickner's laboratory elegantly showed in yeast vacuole fusion assays that yeast NSF does not function in fusion, but is only required to activate SNARE proteins for fusion and to recycle the SNARE machinery after fusion.^[51] Second, in a seminal experiment Reinhard Jahn and John Heuser demonstrated that SNARE complexes assemble in a parallel manner, such that SNARE-complex assembly forces the C-terminal transmembrane regions of SNARE proteins into close proximity.^[52]

The key observation by Heuser and Jahn provided an immediate model for how SNARE proteins may mediate fusion, namely by zippering up in an N- to C-terminal direction, thereby forcing membranes that contain their C-terminal transmembrane regions into close proximity. This model was quickly confirmed using biophysical studies and crystallography,^[53–55] and further elaborated by Rothman and others using *in vitro* reconstitution experiments with liposomes.^[56] It is now the standard model of the field.

3.2. SM Proteins are Obligatory SNARE Partners in Membrane Fusion

In 1993, just at the time at which SNARE proteins were being discovered as membrane fusion proteins, we searched for other components of the fusion machinery using affinity chromatography on immobilized Syntaxin-1.^[23] We isolated a 65 kDa protein that we named Munc18-1 because of its sequence homology to the *C. elegans unc18* gene (Figure 3 A). Sidney Brenner had isolated *unc18*-mutants because the mutant worms did not move properly (were “uncoordinated”), but the function of the *unc18* gene was unknown.^[57] However, because Munc18 bound to the SNARE membrane-fusion machinery and because *C. elegans unc18* was essential for movement, we hypothesized that Munc18-1 was an intrinsic component of the fusion machinery, and co-operates with SNARE proteins in fusion (Figure 3 A).

Further analyses revealed that Munc18-1 was also homologous to *sec1*, which was the first gene isolated by Peter Novick and Randy Schekman in screens for secretory yeast mutants, but whose function, like that of the previously described *unc18*, was unknown.^[58] In fact, this homology led

some investigators to refer to Munc18-1 as n-sec1 or rb-sec1.^[59,60] Multiple additional homologs of Sec1p and Munc18 were subsequently described, and the whole gene family is now referred to as *Sec1/Munc18*-like proteins (**SM** proteins^[61]).

After the discovery of Munc18-1, considerable confusion reigned about its function, fueled by paradoxical observations. On the one hand, in yeast *sec1* mutations blocked fusion,^[58] in *Drosophila* deletion of the Munc18-1 gene (*rop*) abolished synaptic transmission,^[62] and in mice knockout of Munc18-1 ablated neurotransmitter release^[63] (Figure 3 B). These results suggested an essential role for Munc18-1 in fusion itself, a hypothesis that was further supported by Novick's elegant studies demonstrating that yeast Sec1p binds to assembled SNARE complexes,^[64] and acts downstream of SNARE-complex assembly.^[65] On the other hand, we found that outside of the SNARE complex, Syntaxin-1 assumes a “closed” conformation in which its N-terminal Habc-domain folds back on its SNARE-motif, and that Munc18-1 binds to this closed conformation of Syntaxin-1.^[66] Habc-domains are a conserved feature of syntaxins, and account for half of their sequences, while the SNARE motifs of syntaxins form SNARE complexes by assembling with similar SNARE motifs in synaptobrevins and SNAP-25 or their homologs into a four-helical bundle (Figure 3 C).^[55,67] As a result, the intramolecular interaction in the closed Syntaxin-1 conformation of the N-terminal Habc-domain with the SNARE motif prevents Syntaxin-1 from assembling into SNARE complexes, suggesting that Munc18-1 may be a negative regulator of SNARE-complex assembly. Thus, paradoxically at this junction Munc18-1 seemed to be at the same time essential for fusion itself and preventing fusion by blocking SNARE-complex assembly.

We found a resolution to this apparent contradiction when we observed in close collaboration with Josep Rizo that both in vertebrates and in yeast, the SM protein involved in vesicular transport from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi apparatus (Sly1) binds to its cognate syntaxins (Syntaxin-5 and -18 in vertebrates, and Sed5p and Ufe1p in yeast) via a short, conserved N-terminal peptide (the “N-peptide”^[68] Figures 3 C and D). We also found that the same mechanism applies to another SM protein, Vps45, that is involved in endosome and trans-Golgi fusion, and that binds to its cognate Syntaxin-16 (Tlg2p in yeast), again via a very similar N-peptide sequence.^[69] Owing to this binding mechanism, these SM proteins could remain associated with their cognate syntaxins throughout SNARE-complex assembly, consistent with Novick's studies on Sec1p (Grote et al.,^[65] note, however, that the details of Sec1p binding in yeast to the SNARE complex may differ). We observed that the vertebrate plasma membrane syntaxins contain an extremely similar conserved N-terminal sequence (Figure 3 D), prompting us to search for a similar binding mode of Munc18-1 to Syntaxin-1.

Indeed, we found that Munc18-1 bound tightly to assembled SNARE complexes in a manner that depended on the Syntaxin-1 N-peptide (Figure 3 E).^[70] The Munc18-1/SNARE-complex assembly was stable during size-exclusion chromatography, but disrupted by deletion of the N-peptide from Syntaxin-1 (Figure 3 E; James Rothman's laboratory

simultaneously made similar observations^[71]). Fusing as little as a Myc-epitope to the N-peptide of Syntaxin-1 impaired this binding mode, whereas binding of Munc18-1 to the monomeric closed conformation of Syntaxin-1 did not require the Syntaxin-1 N-peptide.

Viewed together, these results showed that Munc18-1 binds to Syntaxin-1 in two sequential modes that involve different Syntaxin-1 conformations (Figure 4): an exocytosis-specific binding mode in which Munc18-1 binds to “closed” Syntaxin-1 independent of the N-peptide,^[66] and a general binding mode shared with some other SM protein/SNARE complex interactions in which Munc18-1 binds to “open” Syntaxin-1 assembled into SNARE complexes via the Syn-

taxin-1 N-peptide, and additionally interacts with other parts of the SNARE complex.^[70]

What are the functions of the two Munc18-1 binding modes to SNARE proteins, and which of the two modes is more important for fusion? Initial peptide competition experiments in the calyx-of-Held synapse showed that displacing the Syntaxin-1 N-terminus from Munc18-1 impairs synaptic vesicle fusion.^[72] Further studies described that mutations in Munc18-1 which decrease Munc18-1 binding to the Syntaxin-1 N-terminal sequences also decrease fusion.^[73] It should be noted that in a later study in which this result was disputed using similar but weaker mutations,^[74] the Munc18-1 mutations caused only a partial decrease in binding to the Syntaxin-1 N-peptide. In these studies, all physiology was performed with a high degree of overexpression, which could have easily compensated for the decrease in binding affinity. Furthermore, elegant experiments in *C. elegans* revealed that the Syntaxin-1 N-peptide was essential for fusion, but that it did not actually need to be on Syntaxin-1 in order to function, as long as it was positioned close to SNARE complexes.^[75] Finally, we showed that in mammalian synapses, the Syntaxin-1 N-peptide was also required for fusion under physiological conditions.^[76]




Figure 3. Definition of the interactions of Sec1/Munc18-like (“SM”) proteins with syntaxins and the SNARE complex during synaptic vesicle fusion. A) Diagram of the Munc18-1/SNARE interactions proposed in the description of Munc18-1 (originally referred to as “Munc-18”) as a Syntaxin-1 binding protein that contributes to the fusion machinery (reproduced from Ref. [23]). B) Demonstration that Munc18-1 is essential for vesicle fusion and does not primarily function as a negative regulator of fusion. Images show synaptic activity recorded from the cortex of newborn littermate wild-type (control) and Munc18-1 knockout mice (null), demonstrating complete electrical silence in the absence of Munc18-1 (reproduced from Ref. [63]). C) Domain structure of syntaxins composed of a conserved N-terminal sequence (N-peptide), an autonomously folded Habc-domain comprising three α -helices,^[67] the SNARE motif that associates into a SNARE complex with the homologous sequences present in synaptobrevins and SNAP-25 or their homologs, and a C-terminal transmembrane region (TMR). Outside of the SNARE complex, syntaxins spontaneously form a “closed” conformation in which the N-terminal Habc-domain folds back onto the SNARE motif, thereby occluding this motif and hindering SNARE-complex assembly.^[66] D) Discovery of a conserved N-terminal sequence motif of syntaxins that mediates binding of most SM proteins to their cognate syntaxins. An alignment of the N-terminal syntaxin sequences is shown on the left (red, conserved residues involved in SM-protein binding), and immunoblots of the initial binding experiments demonstrating that the N-terminus of the ER/Golgi syntaxin-5 binds to the SM protein Sly1 in a manner dependent on the conserved N-terminal syntaxin-5 sequence motif are shown on the right (reproduced from Ref. [68]). E) Demonstration by gel-filtration of a stable complex containing Munc18-1 bound to fully assembled SNARE complexes. Munc18-1 or synaptic SNARE complexes containing the full N-terminal sequence of Syntaxin-1 were analyzed alone (black and blue traces, respectively), or Munc18-1 was analyzed together with SNARE complexes containing either the full N-terminal Syntaxin-1 sequence (red trace) or N-terminally truncated Syntaxin-1 lacking 8 residues (green trace). Note that in the presence of SNARE complexes containing full-length Syntaxin-1, most Munc18-1 co-elutes with SNARE complexes, whereas in the presence of SNARE complexes containing N-terminally truncated Syntaxin-1, no Munc18-1 co-elutes with the SNARE complexes (reproduced from Ref. [70]).




Figure 4. Conformational changes of SNARE and SM proteins mediating synaptic vesicle fusion: Prior to fusion, Syntaxin-1 assumes a default “closed” conformation that binds Munc18-1 via an interaction which does not require the Syntaxin-1 N-peptide.^[66] In Reaction A, Syntaxin-1 is “opened” (probably by Munc13-mediated catalysis^[173]) to initiate synaptic vesicle priming. In Reaction B, SNARE complexes partially assemble via N- to C-terminal zippering,^[52] while Munc18-1 stays associated with Syntaxin-1 during SNARE-complex assembly via its binding to the Syntaxin-1 N-peptide.^[72] In Reaction C, Ca²⁺-triggers fusion-pore opening by stimulating the completion of SNARE-complex assembly; Munc18-1 contributes to this process and is required for fusion-pore opening during this step because the continuing association of Munc18-1 with SNARE-complexes is essential for fusion-pore opening.^[79] After fusion, vesicles are endocytosed (see Figure 1), and SNARE complexes are disassembled by the NSF ATPase and its SNAP protein adaptor (no relation to the SNARE protein SNAP-25). Munc18-1 remains associated with Syntaxin-1, and reverts to the heterodimeric interaction with “closed” Syntaxin-1. Thus, there are two major conformational transitions during exocytotic membrane fusion: opening of Syntaxin-1 with rearrangement of the mode of Munc18-1 binding, and folding of SNARE proteins into SNARE complexes.

These experiments show that binding of Munc18-1 to “open” Syntaxin-1 within the SNARE complex is essential for fusion, and validate the function of Munc18-1—analogue to that of Sec1p—as an intrinsic component of the fusion machine. What then is the role of Munc18-1 binding to “closed” Syntaxin-1? To test this role, we created knock-in mice in which Syntaxin-1 was rendered constitutively “open” (Syntaxin-1^{open}), and thus binding of Munc18-1 to “closed” Syntaxin-1 was suppressed.^[77] In these mice, both Munc18-1 and Syntaxin-1 were destabilized and decreased in levels, consistent with other evidence suggesting that the complex of Munc18-1 with the closed conformation of Syntaxin-1 stabilizes both proteins.^[63] The decreased levels of Syntaxin-1 and Munc18-1 in Syntaxin-1^{open} synapses resulted in decreased vesicle priming, presumably because fewer slots for vesicle fusion were available.^[77,78]

Nevertheless, the probability of Ca²⁺-triggered neurotransmitter release was dramatically enhanced in Syntaxin-1^{open} synapses, and fusion was accelerated. Even the fusion of individual vesicles, as judged by the kinetics of single miniature release (“mini”) events, was faster in Syntaxin-1^{open} than in wild-type synapses.^[78] These data, together with the finding that the Habc-domain of Syntaxin-1, different from its N-peptide, is not essential for fusion^[79] demonstrate that Munc18-1 binding to the closed conformation of Syntaxin-1 is not required for fusion, whereas binding to “open” Syntaxin-1 in the SNARE complex is essential for fusion. Binding of Munc18-1 to closed Syntaxin-1 appears to serve two other functions that are not directly part of fusion itself: to stabilize both proteins in the complex, and to “gate” SNARE-complex assembly mediating fusion, i.e., to regulate the rate of fusion.

3.3. How do SNARE and SM Proteins Mediate Fusion?

In principle, SNARE proteins act in fusion via a simple mechanism: SNARE proteins are attached to both membranes destined to fuse, and form a trans-complex that involves a progressive zippering of the four-helical SNARE-complex bundle in an N- to C-terminal direction, forcing the fusing membranes into close proximity and destabilizing their surfaces. This opens a fusion pore, whose expansion then converts the initial “trans”-SNARE complexes into “cis”-SNARE complexes which are subsequently dissociated by the NSF and SNAP adapter proteins, thereby allowing a recycling of the vesicles and the SNARE proteins for another round of fusion (Figure 4).

However, at least two major questions arise at this point. First, do SNARE proteins primarily act as force-generators to pull membranes

together (which may be sufficient for inducing *in vitro* fusion), or do SNARE proteins actually open the fusion pore? Second, what is the precise function of SM proteins in fusion—why are they required?

In vitro, the transmembrane regions of synaptobrevin and Syntaxin-1 interact with each other in the plane of the membrane. The SNARE motifs of these proteins form a continuous, rigid α -helix with their transmembrane regions, suggesting that the SNARE protein transmembrane regions may actively contribute to the fusion pore.^[80] However, in recent experiments we found that Synaptobrevin-2 and Syntaxin-1 still mediate fusion when both are attached to their resident membranes via lipid anchors, not transmembrane regions, demonstrating that SNARE transmembrane regions are not essential components of the fusion machine.^[76] These results support the notion that SNARE proteins act as force generators, and that their transmembrane regions do not act as fusion catalysts.

What then do SM proteins do in fusion? The fact that SM proteins are required continuously during SNARE-complex assembly argues for a role either in organizing proper SNARE-complex assembly and in preventing dead-end inappropriate SNARE complexes, or in catalyzing lipid mixing during fusion. At present, no conclusive data argue one way or the other, and this question will clearly keep many of us busy for years to come.

3.4. SNARE Chaperones are Essential for Maintaining the Integrity of the Presynaptic Terminal

Neurons fire action potentials often in bursts or trains, with high frequencies, sometimes exceeding 100 Hz. Each neurotransmitter release event involves the folding and unfolding of reactive SNARE proteins, exposing the presynaptic cytosol to potentially deleterious misfolding of SNARE proteins and formation of inappropriate complexes by reactive SNARE motifs. It is thus not surprising that neurons express specialized chaperones which help proper folding of SNARE proteins, and that deletion of these chaperones leads to neurodegeneration.

We identified two classes of such chaperones, CSP α (for cysteine-string protein- α , so named because it contains an eponymous string of cysteine residues that are palmitoylated to attach CSP α to the synaptic vesicle membrane^[81]), and synucleins (so named because it was initially thought that these proteins may also be in the nucleus^[82]).

Our discovery that these proteins function as SNARE chaperones was pure serendipity. We found that deletion of CSP α in mice leads to massive neurodegeneration that kills affected mice in 3–4 months and is caused by an impairment in SNARE-complex formation.^[83] Surprisingly, this neurodegeneration was suppressed by modest overexpression of α -synuclein.^[84] Following up on these observations, we showed that CSP α —which contains a DNA-J domain and forms a catalytically active, ATP-dependent chaperone complex with Hsc70 and the tetratricopeptide-repeat protein SGT^[85]—catalyzes the proper folding of SNAP-25, rendering SNAP-25 competent for SNARE-complex assembly.^[86–88] In CSP α KO mice, misfolding of SNAP-25 impaired SNARE-complex assembly which then caused neurodegeneration. α -Synuclein rescued this neurodegeneration by independently promoting SNARE-complex assembly via a non-classical, ATP-independent chaperone activity.^[89]

Although these observations uncovered a potentially interesting facet of SNARE protein biology, we do not yet understand how the physiological activities of α -synuclein relate to its neurotoxic role in Parkinson's disease. One attractive hypothesis is that α -synuclein aggregation in Parkinson's disease may deplete neurons of all available functional α -synuclein, and thus cause SNARE protein misfolding that is then deleterious, but alternative hypotheses, such as a direct neurotoxic non-physiological activity of α -synuclein oligomers, are equally plausible.

4. Ca²⁺-Triggering of Fusion: Synaptotagmins and More

At the same time as our work on synaptic membrane fusion was progressing, we were studying a related question: how is neurotransmitter release by synaptic membrane fusion triggered by Ca²⁺? Ever since I was a graduate student in Victor Whittaker's laboratory in Göttingen, I had been fascinated by this question. The central importance of Ca²⁺-triggered neurotransmitter release for brain function intrigued me, its improbable speed and plasticity puzzled

me, and the similarity of Ca²⁺-induced synaptic vesicle exocytosis to other types of Ca²⁺-induced exocytosis, such as those underlying hormone secretion, mast cell degranulation, or fertilization, suggested to me that understanding Ca²⁺-triggered neurotransmitter release may be generally relevant for cellular signaling processes. Although some key discoveries about synaptotagmins were made at the same time as those about SNARE and SM proteins, the work on synaptotagmins extended over a longer time period to satisfy even the most stringent critics, and some of the most important observations are quite recent.

4.1. Discovery of Synaptotagmin-1: Identification of C2-Domains as Versatile Ca²⁺-Binding Domains

During our studies of the molecular anatomy of synaptic vesicles, we searched for a candidate Ca²⁺-sensor that might mediate Ca²⁺-triggering of synaptic vesicle exocytosis. When we cloned Synaptotagmin-1 (Syt1)—which had been described earlier as a synaptic vesicle protein using a monoclonal antibody raised against synaptosomes^[90]—we were intrigued by its primary structure because Syt1 included two C2-domains that were anchored on the vesicle membrane by a transmembrane region (Perin et al.,^[15] Figures 2 and 5A). At that time, nothing was known about C2-domains except that they represented the “2nd constant sequence” in classical protein-kinase C (PKC) isozymes.^[91] Since classical PKC isozymes are Ca²⁺-regulated and interact with phospholipids, we speculated that the synaptotagmin C2-domains may represent Ca²⁺-binding modules that interact with phospholipids, and that Syt1 may be a Ca²⁺-sensor for neurotransmitter release.^[15] In pursuing this hypothesis over two decades, we showed that Ca²⁺-triggering of neurotransmitter release is mediated by Ca²⁺-binding to Syt1 and other synaptotagmins, and that different synaptotagmin isoforms additionally perform similar Ca²⁺-sensor functions in other types of Ca²⁺-dependent exocytosis.

The first challenge after describing Syt1 was to test whether the Syt1 C2-domains were indeed a novel type of Ca²⁺/phospholipid-binding domain. We found that the Syt1 C2-domains bound to phospholipids,^[15] that such binding was mediated by purified brain Syt1 in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner,^[92] and that a single C2-domain of Syt1—the first C2A-domain—constituted an autonomously folded domain that bound Ca²⁺ and phospholipids in a ternary complex (Figure 5B).^[93,94] In addition, we and others observed that the Syt1 C2-domains also bind to Syntaxin-1 and to SNARE-complexes as a function of Ca²⁺.^[17,95,96] In collaboration with Steven Sprang and Josep Rizo, we obtained atomic structures of the C2-domains of Syt1, and defined the architecture of their Ca²⁺-binding sites (Figure 5A).^[97–102] Our structural studies demonstrated that the Syt1 C2-domains are composed of stable β -sandwiches with flexible loops emerging from the top and bottom, and that Ca²⁺ exclusively binds to the top loops of the C2-domains with incomplete coordination spheres (Figures 5A and C). As a result, intrinsic Ca²⁺-binding to Syt1 C2-domains exhibited low affinity, but was




Figure 5. Domain structure and Ca²⁺-binding of Synaptotagmin-1: A) Domain structure of Synaptotagmin-1 (Syt1) and structure of the Syt1 C₂-domains (courtesy of J. Rizo; Shao et al.,^[183] Fernandez et al.^[102]). B) Demonstration that the C₂A-domain of Syt1, and by extension other C₂-domains, are autonomously folding Ca²⁺-binding domains. The data illustrate high-affinity and highly cooperative Ca²⁺-regulation of phospholipid binding by the purified recombinant Syt1 C₂A-domain (reproduced from Ref. [93]). C) Architecture of the Syt1 C₂A-domain Ca²⁺-binding sites as determined by NMR-spectroscopy (modified from Ref. [22]). Note that multiple Ca²⁺-ions are ligated in incomplete coordination spheres by multiple overlapping aspartate residues.

dramatically enhanced by binding of phospholipids which complete the Ca²⁺-coordination spheres.^[93,94,100,102]

The biochemical and structural definition of the Syt1 C₂A-domain as an autonomously folded Ca²⁺-binding

module—the first for any C₂-domain—proved paradigmatic for all C₂-domains, which are now known to represent a common Ca²⁺-binding motif in proteins.^[103,104] However, not all C₂-domains bind Ca²⁺. Some C₂-domains are Ca²⁺-independent phospholipid-binding modules (e.g., the PTEN C₂-domain^[105]), while others are Ca²⁺-independent protein interaction domains (e.g., the N-terminal C₂-domain of Munc13 that binds to RIMs as discussed below^[106,107]). Even C₂-domains that bind Ca²⁺ are functionally diverse. For example, different from Syt1 C₂-domains, some C₂-domains exhibit a high intrinsic Ca²⁺-affinity also in the absence of phospholipids (e.g., the central C₂-domain of Munc13-2^[108]). Thus, C₂-domains are versatile protein modules that most often are Ca²⁺/phospholipid-binding domains but can adopt multifarious other functions.

4.2. Demonstration that Syt1 is a Ca²⁺-Sensor for Exocytosis

After the biochemical studies had established that Syt1 binds Ca²⁺, the next challenge was to show whether Syt1 constitutes Katz's long-sought Ca²⁺-sensor for neurotransmitter release. Initial experiments in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* disappointingly indicated that at least some neurotransmitter release remained after deletion of Syt1, even though release was significantly reduced.^[109–111] Our electrophysiological analyses of Syt1 knockout mice in which higher resolution measurements of release were possible then revealed that Syt1 is selectively but absolutely required for fast synchronous synaptic fusion in forebrain neurons, whereas it is dispensable for other, slower forms of Ca²⁺-induced release (Figure 6).^[112,113] These experiments, carried out in collaboration with Chuck Stevens at the Salk Institute, accounted for the *Drosophila* and *C. elegans* phenotypes, and




Figure 6. Synaptotagmin-1 (Syt1) knockout selectively ablates fast synchronous neurotransmitter release: Traces of evoked synaptic responses recorded from hippocampal neurons cultured from newborn littermate wild-type (WT, left) and Syt1 knockout mice (right). Synaptic responses were induced by isolated action potentials; two different scales are shown under (i) and (ii) as indicated by the calibration bars. Note that the Syt1 knockout completely ablates fast synchronous response, but not slow asynchronous responses (reproduced from Ref. [112]).

established that Syt1 is essential for fast Ca^{2+} -triggered release, but is not required for fusion as such—is not even necessary for all Ca^{2+} -triggered fusion. Moreover, deletion of Syt1 increased spontaneous “mini” release in some synapses, suggesting that Syt1 normally contributes to clamping spontaneous synaptic vesicle exocytosis.^[113,114]

The Syt1 knockout analyses supported the “synaptotagmin Ca^{2+} -sensor hypothesis”, but did not exclude the possibility that Syt1 positions vesicles next to voltage-gated Ca^{2+} -channels (a function now known to be mediated by RIMs and RIM-BPs^[115]). Such a “positioning function” would enable another “real” Ca^{2+} -sensor to do the actual Ca^{2+} -triggering, consistent with the remaining Ca^{2+} -induced release in Syt1 knockout synapses—an alternative hypothesis that was widely discussed^[116] but could not account for why Syt1 itself binds Ca^{2+} .

To directly test whether Ca^{2+} -binding to Syt1 actually triggers neurotransmitter release, we introduced into the endogenous mouse Syt1 gene a point mutation (R233Q) that decreased the Syt1 Ca^{2+} -binding affinity during phospholipid binding ca. 2-fold, but had no detectable effect on Ca^{2+} -dependent Syntaxin-1 binding (Figures 7A and B).^[117] Electrophysiological recordings, carried out in collaboration with Christian Rosenmund, revealed that the R233Q mutation converted synaptic depression during stimulus trains into synaptic facilitation, consistent with a decrease in release probability (Figure 7C). Importantly, this decrease in release probability was revealed to be caused by a ca. 2-fold decrease in the apparent Ca^{2+} -affinity of neurotransmitter release, formally proving that Syt1 is the Ca^{2+} -sensor for release (Figure 7D).

In subsequent studies, we extended this analysis, and introduced into knock-in mice other point mutations, including a mutation (D232N) that increased the Ca^{2+} -dependent interaction of Syt1 with SNARE proteins (Figure 7E^[118]). We found that this mutation increased neurotransmitter release accordingly. We showed in a detailed comparison of the R233Q and D232N point mutations, which decrease or increase the apparent Ca^{2+} -affinity of Syt1, respectively, that they have corresponding opposite effects on the apparent Ca^{2+} -affinity of release (Figures 7F and G). Moreover, in parallel experiments in chromaffin cells performed in collaboration with Erwin Neher, we found that Syt1 also functions as a Ca^{2+} -sensor for endocrine granule exocytosis,^[119,120] although here the Syt1 deletion causes only a very small impairment in Ca^{2+} -triggered exocytosis because Syt1 function is largely redundant with that of Syt7 in chromaffin cells (see discussion below^[121]).

Together, these studies proved that Syt1 functions as a Ca^{2+} -sensor in synaptic vesicle exocytosis. We next wondered whether Ca^{2+} -binding to both of the C2-domains of Syt1 contributes to triggering release. Initial studies in *Drosophila* demonstrated that the C2B-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites of Syt1 are essential for release.^[122] A similar study suggested that the C2A-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites are dispensable,^[123] but the signal-to-noise ratio of the C2A-domain study was too low to rule out a significant contribution. Using systematic rescue experiments to perform a direct quantitative comparison of the Ca^{2+} -triggering activities of

Syt1 mutants lacking either C2A- or C2B-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites, we found that in addition to the C2B-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites, the C2A-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites significantly contribute to release.^[124] Moreover, we observed that in the absence of the C2A-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites, Ca^{2+} -triggered release exhibited a significantly decreased apparent Ca^{2+} -cooperativity, documenting that Ca^{2+} -binding to the C2A-domain of Synaptotagmin-1 directly participates in the Ca^{2+} -triggering of fast release.

4.3. Diversity of Synaptotagmins in Fast Ca^{2+} -Triggered Neurotransmitter Release

Mammalian genomes encode 16 synaptotagmins (defined as double C2-domain proteins with an N-terminal transmembrane region). The C2-domains of 8 synaptotagmins (Syt1-Syt3, Syt5-Syt7, Syt9, and Syt10) bind Ca^{2+} , whereas those of the other 8 synaptotagmins do not. The 8 Ca^{2+} -binding synaptotagmins comprise two classes which lack (Syt1, Syt2, Syt7, and Syt9) or contain N-terminal disulfide bond that covalently dimerizes the respective synaptotagmins (Syt3, Syt5, Syt6, and Syt10).

When the diversity of synaptotagmins emerged (e.g., see Geppert et al.,^[16] Li et al.^[17]), it was surprising that the Syt1 knockout produced a dramatic phenotype because at least some of these other synaptotagmins are co-expressed with Syt1. However, using systematic rescue experiments we found that only three of the eight Ca^{2+} -binding synaptotagmins—Syt1, Syt2 and Syt9—mediate fast synaptic vesicle exocytosis.^[125] These synaptotagmins exhibit distinct kinetics, with Syt2 triggering release faster, and Syt9 slower than Syt1. Most forebrain neurons express only Syt1, accounting for the dramatic Syt1 knockout phenotype. Syt2 is the Ca^{2+} -sensor of fast synapses in the brainstem and the neuromuscular junction (Figures 8A and B),^[126,127] while Syt9 is primarily present in the limbic system.^[125] Thus, the kinetic properties of Syt1, Syt2, and Syt9 correspond to the functional needs of the synapses containing them.

In the initial Syt1 KO studies,^[128] we observed that although fast release was ablated in Syt1-deficient synapses, a slower form of Ca^{2+} -triggered release remained (Figure 6). We thus sought to biophysically define the contribution of the “fast” synaptotagmin-dependent form of release, and to describe the properties of the slower remaining form. To do so, we used the calyx-of-Held synapse as a model system because it allows simultaneous patching of pre- and post-synaptic compartments, providing an unparalleled resolution of electrophysiological measurements.^[129,130] The calyx-of-Held synapse expresses only Syt2 among the “fast” synaptotagmins (Figure 8A).^[127] Knockout of Syt2 ablated all fast Ca^{2+} -triggered neurotransmitter release; only a slower form of release remained (Figure 8B). In the Syt2 KO calyx synapse, this remaining Ca^{2+} -triggered release did not facilitate during high-frequency stimulus trains, different from what we observed in Syt1 KO synapses in hippocampal and cortical neurons (see Maximov and Südhof,^[113] and Figure 9 below). As a result, the Syt2 KO blocked the vast majority of




Figure 7. Demonstration that Ca^{2+} -binding to Synaptotagmin-1 (Syt1) triggers neurotransmitter release using knock-in mice containing mutant Syt1 with altered Ca^{2+} -affinities A,B) A single amino-acid substitution in the Syt1 C2A-domain (R233Q) decreases the apparent Ca^{2+} -affinity of Syt1 during phospholipid but not during Syntaxin-1 binding. Data show measurements of Ca^{2+} -dependent binding of the entire cytoplasmic fragment of endogenous wild-type and R233Q-mutant Syt1 obtained from littermate knock-in mice to liposomes (A) or immobilized GST-Syntaxin-1 (B). C) The R233Q amino-acid substitution decreases the probability of neurotransmitter release as evidenced by a conversion of synaptic depression in wild-type synapses into synaptic facilitation in R233Q-mutant synapses. Synaptic responses during a 10 Hz stimulus train are measured (left, representative traces; right, normalized responses). D) The R233Q-mutation decreases the apparent Ca^{2+} -affinity of neurotransmitter release approximately 2-fold similar to its effect on the apparent Ca^{2+} -affinity of phospholipid binding (see A), which accounts for the decrease in release probability in (C). Data show normalized amplitudes of synaptic responses as a function of extracellular Ca^{2+} -concentration. E) Another single amino-acid substitution in the Syt1 C2A-domain (D232N) has a distinct effect on the Ca^{2+} -binding properties of Syt1: it increases Ca^{2+} -dependent binding of Syt1 to SNARE complexes. Data show measurements of Ca^{2+} -dependent binding of wild-type and D232N-mutant endogenous Syt1 to SNARE complexes in brain homogenates from knock-in mice solubilized with Triton X-100. SNARE complexes were immunoprecipitated at the indicated concentrations of free Ca^{2+} , and immunoprecipitates were analyzed by quantitative immunoblotting for Syt1 (top graph), Munc18-1, and complexins (bottom graphs). Note that Munc18-1 and complexin constitutively co-immunoprecipitate with SNARE complexes whereas the co-IP of Syt1 is dramatically enhanced at increasing Ca^{2+} -concentrations. F,G) Direct comparisons of the effects of D232N- and R233Q-knock-in mutations in Syt1 demonstrate that these two mutations that have opposite effects on the Ca^{2+} -binding properties of Syt1 produce opposite shifts in the apparent Ca^{2+} -affinity of release. F, Measurements of the absolute amplitude of evoked inhibitory postsynaptic currents as a function of extracellular Ca^{2+} in neurons cultured from littermate D232N- or R233Q-mutant knock-in mice and their wild-type littermates; each mutant has its own wild-type control. Synaptic amplitudes are fit to a Hill function. G) Apparent Ca^{2+} -affinity for release calculated by Hill function fits of the data in F comparing wild-type controls to D232N- or R233Q-mutant synapses. Panels (A)–(D) were reproduced from Ref. [22], and panels (E)–(G) from Ref. [118, 126] and [114].

Ca^{2+} -triggered release in this synapse independent of the stimulation frequency.^[127]

We then analyzed the Ca^{2+} -dependence of neurotransmitter release in calyx synapses from wild-type and littermate Syt2 KO mice using flash-photolysis of caged- Ca^{2+} . We performed simultaneous measurements of the postsynaptic response (which allows precise calculations of synaptic vesicle exocytosis) and of presynaptic Ca^{2+} -levels by microfluorometry, an approach that had been pioneered by the Sakmann, Schneggenburger, and Neher laboratories.^[131,132] We found that as described previously,^[131] release triggered by physiological Ca^{2+} -concentrations exhibited an apparent Ca^{2+} -cooperativity of 5, similar to the number of Ca^{2+} -ions bound to synaptotagmins (Figures 8C and D). However, the small amount of remaining Ca^{2+} -triggered release in Syt2 KO calyx synapses exhibited an apparent Ca^{2+} -cooperativity of only 2, suggesting that this release was mediated by a different Ca^{2+} -sensor that at least in the calyx of Held synapse has properties distinct from those of Syt1, Syt2, and Syt9.^[127]

4.4. Testing the Function of Synaptotagmin-7 in Slow Ca^{2+} -Triggered Release

Which Ca^{2+} -sensor induces the remaining release in Syt1 and Syt2 KO synapses, and could this release be mediated by one of the other 5 Ca^{2+} -binding synaptotagmins? The remaining release in Syt1 KO neurons exhibits distinct, synapse-dependent properties. Whereas in Syt2-deficient calyx-of-Held synapses the remaining release remains small and constant even at high stimulation frequencies (Figure 8B), in Syt1-deficient hippocampal and cortical synapses the remaining “asynchronous” release is massively facilitating at high stimulation frequencies (Figure 9A). As a result, in these synapses the total amount of Ca^{2+} -triggered release induced by high-frequency stimulus trains is similar in wild-type and Syt1-deficient synapses, even though the initial rate of fast release differs more than 10 fold.^[113,133]

To define the Ca^{2+} -sensor for the remaining release in Syt1-deficient hippocampal neurons, we focused on Syt7. We had found earlier that Syt7 similar to Syt1 functions as a Ca^{2+} -sensor for exocytosis in chromaffin and other neuroendocrine and endocrine cells,^[134–137] and Paul Brehm had observed a role for Syt7 in release at the neuromuscular junction.^[138] We found that although Syt7 loss-of-function did not produce




Figure 8. Deletion of Synaptotagmin-2 (Syt2) the fast Ca^{2+} -sensor for release in the Calyx of Held synapse, uncovers a slower form of Ca^{2+} -triggered release that is controlled by a secondary Ca^{2+} -sensor with a much lower Ca^{2+} -cooperativity than Syt2. A) Localization of Syt2 by immunocytochemistry of Calyx synapses demonstrates abundant expression in presynaptic terminals. B) Knockout (KO) of Syt2 in calyx synapses ablates most fast synchronous neurotransmitter release induced by a high-frequency action potential train (40 stimuli at 25 Hz). Representative traces of synaptic responses (EPSCs) recorded during the overall train are shown on top (note that wild-type and mutant traces are shown with different scales), and expansions of the initial and the final 4 EPSCs at the bottom (note that here wild-type and mutant traces have the same scales, but scales differ for the first and last 4 EPSCs). The baseline shift in the Syt2 KO traces reflects unclamping of asynchronous release that is not observed in wild-type synapses. C) KO of Syt2 severely impairs neurotransmitter release triggered by high concentrations of Ca^{2+} in the calyx of Held synapse. Presynaptic terminals were filled via a patch pipette with caged Ca^{2+} and a Ca^{2+} -indicator dye, and release was triggered by Ca^{2+} released by flash photolysis. The amount of release was measured postsynaptically by monitoring the EPSC and then calculating the number of vesicles released at a given time (release rate). Simultaneously, the presynaptic Ca^{2+} -concentration was measured by microfluorometry. D) Ca^{2+} -triggered neurotransmitter release exhibits a biphasic Ca^{2+} -concentration dependence in wild-type (WT) calyx synapses with a low apparent Ca^{2+} -cooperativity of release (ca. 2 Ca^{2+} -ions) at low Ca^{2+} -concentrations, and a high apparent Ca^{2+} -cooperativity at high Ca^{2+} -concentrations (ca. 5 Ca^{2+} -ions). KO of Syt2 selectively ablates the high Ca^{2+} -cooperativity release phase, decreasing the release rates at physiological Ca^{2+} -concentrations nearly 100-fold without significantly affecting Ca^{2+} -triggered release at low Ca^{2+} -concentrations. Data show summary graph of EPSC peak release rates as a function of different free Ca^{2+} -concentrations in the presynaptic terminal. The dashed line represents a fit of a 5th power function to the data from wild-type terminals at $> 1 \mu\text{M}$ free Ca^{2+} ; the solid line a 2nd power function to the data from mutant terminals at all Ca^{2+} -concentrations. Note that the solid line also fits the wild-type responses at low Ca^{2+} -concentrations. All data were adapted from Ref. [127].




Figure 9. Synaptotagmin-7 (Syt7) knockdown impairs the slow release remaining in Synaptotagmin-1 (Syt1) knockout neurons: A,B) In cultured hippocampal neurons, suppression of Syt7 expression by knockdown (KD) has no major effect on neurotransmitter release evoked by a high-frequency stimulus train in wild-type synapses (A). However, suppressing Syt7 expression in Syt1-deficient neurons (Syt1 KO) impairs most of the slow and facilitating Ca^{2+} -triggered release that remains after the Syt1 KO (B). Data show representative traces of IPSCs evoked by a 10 Hz stimulus train obtained in control neurons and neurons expressing four different Syt7 shRNAs to assure reproducibility. Note that in hippocampal neurons, the high-frequency stimulus train induces in Syt1 KO neurons a strongly facilitating form of asynchronous release, such that the amount of total release during the train is similar in Syt1 KO and wild-type neurons. By contrast, in Syt2 KO calyx synapses no such facilitation of the residual release is observed (see Figure 8B). C,D) In acute slices, suppression of Syt7 expression by itself also has no significant effect on release, but here again suppression of Syt1 expression ablates only the initial fast phase of release but retains a strongly facilitating asynchronous form of release that is severely impaired by additional suppression of Syt7 expression. Data show measurements of EPSCs elicited by isolated stimuli applied with increasing strength (C) or by a 100 Hz, 0.1 s stimulus train (D; representative traces with an expansion of the initial response below). Measurements were performed in acute hippocampal slices from mice whose CA1 region had been injected with viruses encoding shRNAs for knockdown of the indicated synaptotagmins two weeks prior to the experiments. EPSCs were measured in postsynaptic subiculum neurons after presynaptic stimulation of axons emanating from CA1 region neurons. All data were adapted from Ref. [140].

a major change in neurotransmitter release in Syt1-containing wild-type neurons,^[139] it impaired most of the remaining slow Ca^{2+} -triggered release in Syt1 knockout neurons (Bacaj et al.^[140] Figure 9). The Syt7 loss-of-function phenotype in Syt1-deficient neurons could be rescued only by Syt7 containing functional Ca^{2+} -binding sites, suggesting that Syt7 functions as a Ca^{2+} -sensor. Different from Syt1 in which the C2B-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites were more impor-

tant than the C2A-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites, blocking the Syt7 C2B-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites of Syt7 had no effect on rescue. However, blocking the Syt7 C2A-domain Ca^{2+} -binding sites abolished its rescue activity.^[140] This result indicates that the mechanisms of action of Syt1 and Syt7 partly differ from each other.

Viewed together, these observations suggest that Syt7—like the other synaptotagmins of its class (Syt1, Syt2, and Syt9)—functions as a Ca^{2+} -sensor for exocytosis, but exhibits a slower kinetics which normally occludes its action in wild-type synapses in which the faster Syt1 probably outcompetes the slower Syt7. Although the function of Syt7 was not immediately apparent at most normal synapses (Figure 9), paired recordings showed that Syt7 does contribute physiologically to release during stimulus trains even in the presence of Syt1.^[140] Therefore four synaptotagmins (Syt1, Syt2, Syt7, and Syt9) together account for nearly all neurotransmitter release at a synapse. The different speed of action of Syt1 and Syt7 may be related to their localizations because Syt7 has been consistently found to be absent from synaptic vesicles,^[139,141] even though it is present on endocrine granules, suggesting that it is slow because it is not as close to the site of Ca^{2+} -triggered fusion as Syt1.

4.5. Complexins Support Synaptotagmin-Dependent Ca^{2+} -Triggering of Fusion

We identified complexins as small proteins bound to SNARE complexes but not to individual SNARE proteins^[124] (also later independently identified by Ishizuka et al.^[142]). The crystal structure of complexin bound to the SNARE complex, obtained in collaboration with Josep Rizo, revealed that complexin contains a central α -helix that nestles in an antiparallel orientation into the groove formed by the Syntaxin-1 and Synaptobrevin-2 SNARE motifs.^[143] The central α -helix of complexin is N-terminally preceded by an accessory α -helix and a short unstructured sequence, and C-terminally followed by a longer unstructured sequence. Analysis of complexin-deficient neurons showed that complexin represents a co-factor for synaptotagmin that functions physiologically both as a clamp and as an activator of Ca^{2+} -triggered fusion.^[144–148] Complexin-deficient neurons exhibited a milder phenocopy of Syt1-deficient neurons, with a partial suppression of fast synchronous exocytosis and an increase in spontaneous exocytosis, suggesting that complexins and synaptotagmins are functionally interdependent.

Some confusion developed regarding complexin function because in vitro fusion assays suggested that complexins act only as a clamp of fusion,^[149] whereas in analyses of synaptic transmission in autapses (in which isolated neurons form synapses with themselves for want of a better partner), complexins acted only as an activator of Ca^{2+} -triggered fusion.^[144] Subsequent studies in cultures of dissociated neurons readily uncovered both complexin activities in that the loss-of-function of complexin produced a large increase in spontaneous “mini” release (interpreted as unclamping) and a major impairment in evoked release (interpreted as a lack of activation; Figure 10).^[147]




Figure 10. Complexin functions both as an activator and as a clamp of synaptic vesicle fusion: A,B) Excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) elicited by isolated action potentials (A) and spontaneous miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs; B) monitored in control neurons and complexin knockdown neurons without or with expression of complexin rescue constructs (wild-type complexin-1 [Cpx¹⁻¹³⁴] and mutant complexin-1 unable to bind to SNARE complexes [Cpx^{1-134M}]). Representative traces are shown on the left, and summary graphs on the right to illustrate the dual nature of complexin action as an activator of Ca²⁺-triggered exocytosis (A) and as a clamp of spontaneous mini release (B). Data are adapted from Ref. [145].

How does a small molecule like complexin, composed of only ≈ 130 residues, act to activate and clamp synaptic vesicles for synaptotagmin action? The central complexin α -helix that is bound to the SNARE complex is essential for all complexin function (Figure 10).^[147] The accessory α -helix is required only for the clamping but not the activating function of complexin, demonstrating that clamping is not a prerequisite for the activation function of complexin.^[148] The flexible N-terminal sequence of complexin, conversely, mediates only the activating but not the clamping function of complexin.^[147,150] Recent results indicate that the activating function of complexin is unexpectedly complex (no pun intended) in that complexin also contributes to the priming of synaptic vesicles, but that for this facet of its activating function the C-terminal sequence is required.^[148,151]

Based on these studies, our current model posits that complexin binding to SNAREs activates the SNARE/SM protein complex, and that at least part of complexin competes with synaptotagmin for SNARE-complex binding and clamps the complex to prevent its complete assembly.^[145] Ca²⁺-activated synaptotagmin displaces this part of complexin, thereby enabling fusion-pore opening (Figure 11). However, it is likely that the clamping function of complexin is relatively less important than its activation function. Even though a 10-fold increase in the rate of spontaneous mini release induced by loss of complexin function is significant, it is small on a per synapse basis. If one considers that each neuron receives thousands of synaptic inputs, the increased mini rate still translates into only one release event or less per synapse and per minute.^[152] Moreover, some complexin isoforms that are generally expressed at low levels (complexin-3 and -4) do not exhibit a clamping function,^[151] and the function of complexin in Ca²⁺-triggered exocytosis of IGF-1 containing vesicles (see below) does not involve clamping.^[153] Thus, it is likely that complexin primarily functions as an activator of exocytosis, and that its clamping function is either an epiphenomenon, or a more minor fine-tuning activity in synaptic transmission.

4.6. An Approximation of How SNARE and SM Proteins Collaborate with Synaptotagmins and Complexins in Ca²⁺-Triggered Fusion

The convergence of biochemical and biophysical studies on the neurotransmitter release machinery lead us to a preliminary model of how Ca²⁺-triggered neurotransmitter release proceeds (Figure 11).

Sketching the atomic structures of SNARE proteins, complexin and synaptotagmin into the context of a docked and primed synaptic vesicle in an in-scale drawing reveals a crowded space in which all partners are placed into close proximity, allowing for rapid interactions (Figure 11A). When we consider how sequential interactions of SNARE and

SM proteins with complexin and synaptotagmin may mediate Ca²⁺-triggered fusion, the most plausible model is that complexin and synaptotagmin act on top of the two sequential major conformational changes involved in SNARE/SM protein complex assembly (Figure 11B; see also Figure 4). Specifically, after docked and tethered vesicles are primed for fusion by opening up the closed conformation of Syntaxin-1 and by partial trans-SNARE-complex assembly (Priming I, Figure 11B), complexin binds to the partially assembled trans-SNARE complex to “superprime” it and to energize the vesicles for Ca²⁺-triggered fusion (Priming II). Synaptotagmins probably also constitutively bind to assembling SNARE complexes independent of Ca²⁺, and the complexin- and synaptotagmin-binding may contribute to “freeze” the partly assembled SNARE complex and thus “clamp” it. Ca²⁺ then triggers fusion-pore opening by binding to synaptotagmin, which in turn binds to phospholipids and changes its interaction with the trans-SNARE complex to partly displace complexin. It is likely that synaptotagmin and complexin constitutively interact with the SNARE/SM protein complex in a Ca²⁺-independent manner to form a single prefusion complex, and that Ca²⁺ does not cause an all-or-none binding of synaptotagmin to the SNARE complex as it does for binding of synaptotagmin to phospholipids, but instead causes a rearrangement of the prefusion complex (e.g., see Shin et al.^[154]).

The simplest mechanism by which Ca²⁺-binding to synaptotagmin could open the fusion pore would be by pulling on the SNARE/SM protein complex, a pulling action that could be induced by Ca²⁺-triggered binding of synaptotagmin to phospholipids. After fusion-pore opening, the pore expands, and NSF and SNAPs are recruited to the assembled cis-SNARE complex. NSF then dissociates the cis-SNARE complex, the Munc18-1/SNARE complex is transformed into the heteromeric Munc18-1/Syntaxin-1 complex, and synaptic vesicles recycle via one of several forms of endocytosis (see Figure 1).




Figure 11. Modeling how SNARE and SM proteins collaborate with synaptotagmins and complexins in Ca²⁺-triggered neurotransmitter release. A) Atomic structures of SNARE proteins, complexin, and Syt1 during synaptic vesicle fusion. The illustration summarizes atomic structures obtained in collaboration with Josep Rizo (UT Southwestern) of the Syt1 C2-domains,^[102,183] the Syntaxin-1 Habc-domain,^[184] and the assembled SNARE complex containing bound complexin (pink).^[143] Transmembrane regions are depicted as cylinders, and linker sequences as lines. All structures are in scale relative to the synaptic vesicle, illustrating the space constraints of the collaboration between Syt1 and the SNARE complex/complexin assembly. Munc18-1 is also bound to the SNARE complex at the same time (see Figures 3 D and 4) but is not shown since no structure of Munc18-1 bound to the SNARE complex is available. The direction of the force produced by SNARE complex assembly that destabilizes the phospholipid membrane surfaces is indicated. B) Schematic diagram of the action of synaptotagmins and complexins in the SNARE/SM protein cycle. The SNARE/SM protein cycle is composed of the assembly of the SNARE proteins Synaptobrevin/VAMP, SNAP-25, and Syntaxin-1 into complexes whose full formation forces fusion-pore opening; the SM protein Munc18-1 remains associated with Syntaxin-1 throughout the cycle and is essential for fusion-pore opening. After fusion, the chaperone ATPase NSF and its SNAP adaptors catalyze SNARE-complex dissociation. Complexin binds to partially assembled SNARE complexes during priming, and serves as an essential adaptor that enables synaptotagmin to act as a Ca²⁺-sensor in triggering fusion-pore opening (bottom limb of the cycle). Note that synaptotagmin likely constitutively interacts with the SNARE/SM protein complex in a Ca²⁺-independent manner to form a single prefusion complex prior to Ca²⁺-triggering of exocytosis, and that Ca²⁺ does not cause an all-or-none binding of synaptotagmin to the SNARE complex as it does for binding of synaptotagmin to phospholipids, but instead causes a rearrangement of the prefusion complex. However, this is not shown in the diagram due to difficulties of representing these multifarious three-dimensional interactions in a two-dimensional format. Both synaptotagmins and complexins additionally clamp spontaneous release, probably via their Ca²⁺-independent constitutive binding to partly assembled SNARE complexes. Three vesicular synaptotagmins act as Ca²⁺-sensors for fast exocytosis (Syt1, Syt2, and Syt9); in addition, Syt7 that is not present on synaptic vesicles but probably localizes to the presynaptic plasma membrane^[134] mediates slower forms of Ca²⁺-triggered exocytosis (Syt7 is only shown in the top overview for simplicity). Drawing was modified from Ref. [185].

4.7. Parallel Synaptotagmin-Mediated Pathways of Ca^{2+} -Triggered Exocytosis

The four synaptotagmins that lack N-terminal disulfide bonds (Syt1, Syt2, Syt7, and Syt9) function in synaptic vesicle and neuroendocrine exocytosis, but what about the other four Ca^{2+} -binding synaptotagmins that are disulfide-bonded dimers? Recent studies revealed that one of these synaptotagmins, Syt10, also acts as a Ca^{2+} -sensor in exocytosis, but in a form of exocytosis that differs from synaptic vesicle and neuroendocrine granule exocytosis. Specifically, we found that Syt10 functions in olfactory neurons as a Ca^{2+} -sensor for specialized vesicles containing IGF-1.^[153] These vesicles differ from neuropeptide-containing vesicles present in the same neurons (which are more like neuroendocrine granules and contain Syt1).^[153] Among others, these experiments demonstrated that even in a single neuron, different synaptotagmins act as Ca^{2+} -sensors for distinct Ca^{2+} -triggered fusion reactions (Figure 12). Moreover, these observations indicated that Ca^{2+} -triggered exocytosis generally depends on synaptotagmin Ca^{2+} -sensors, and that different synaptotagmins contribute to the specificity and differential properties of distinct exocytosis pathways.

Interestingly, complexin not only supports synaptotagmins acting in neurotransmitter release, but also Syt10-dependent IGF-1 secretion, despite the different covalent structures of Syt1 and Syt10.^[153] Thus, complexin likely is a general cofactor for all synaptotagmins in regulated exocytosis. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that complexin is ubiquitously present in all cells,^[24] and is also central for the postsynaptic insertion of AMPA-type glutamate

receptors during LTP,^[156] suggesting that complexins are general cofactors for regulated exocytosis.

5. Organizing the Release Machinery at the Active Zone

In a presynaptic terminal, synaptic vesicles dock and fuse at the active zone of the presynaptic plasma membrane. The active zone is a specialized area that appears dense in electron microscopy pictures of fixed tissue and is localized precisely opposite to postsynaptic receptor clusters (Figure 1). The first specific active zone protein we identified was Munc13-1,^[25] named like Munc18-1 (no relation!) after a homologous gene in *C. elegans* (*unc13*) that is essential for coordinated worm movements but whose function was unknown.^[57] Based on this homology, the “uncoordinated” worm phenotype, and its localization to the active zone, we speculated that Munc13-1 may be a component of the neurotransmitter release machinery.^[25] This supposition was confirmed when we analyzed knockout synapses lacking Munc13-1, which exhibited a dramatic loss of synaptic vesicle priming.^[157]

Quickly after Munc13-1, we identified a series of additional active zone proteins such as CASK,^[26] RIMs (for Rab3-interacting molecules^[27]), RIM-BPs,^[28] and ELKS^[29] (see also Ohtsuka et al.^[30]), while others identified additional active zone proteins such as α -liprins,^[31] bassoon,^[32] and piccolo.^[33,34] Interestingly, most of these proteins directly or indirectly bind to each other, forming a protein network at the active zone.^[3] Specifically, RIMs bind to Munc13-1, to RIM-BPs, to ELKS (although it is not clear whether this binding is physiologically important) and to α -liprins, suggesting that RIMs are the central hub of this network, while additional interactions connect some of the other proteins with each other.^[28,158–160] Clearly, many questions about the active zone are still unanswered, most importantly what mechanisms position the active zone precisely opposite to a postsynaptic specialization. Nevertheless, we now have a plausible view of how the active zone performs its three main functions, namely the tethering (“docking”) of synaptic vesicles at the plasma membrane, the priming of such vesicles for fusion, and the recruitment of Ca^{2+} -channels next to docked and primed vesicles.




Figure 12. Overlapping and non-overlapping functions of different synaptotagmins in Ca^{2+} -triggering of various types of exocytosis in a single neuron. Three types of Ca^{2+} -triggered exocytosis are illustrated: Left, synaptic vesicle exocytosis mediating neurotransmitter release that uses Syt1, Syt2, and/or Syt9 as fast Ca^{2+} -sensors,^[150] and Syt7 as a slow Ca^{2+} -sensor.^[140] Center, large dense-core vesicle (LDCV) exocytosis that uses the same Ca^{2+} -sensors as synaptic vesicle exocytosis (Syt1 and Syt7 based on work in chromaffin cells,^[134,186] and probably also Syt2 and Syt9). Right, exocytosis of a separate class of peptidergic vesicles that are larger than LDCVs and utilize Syt10 as a Ca^{2+} -sensor.^[153,155] Note that although Syt7 has been shown to operate in both synaptic vesicle and LDCV exocytosis, it is absent from synaptic vesicles but present on LDCVs, and is thought that act more slowly in neurotransmitter release because of its different localization. Diagram was modified from Ref. [155].

5.1. Tethering (“Docking”) of Synaptic Vesicles to the Active Zone

As in other membrane-trafficking processes, synaptic vesicle tethering involves Rab proteins, small GTPases that are distantly related to ras proteins. The central role of Rab proteins in membrane traffic was discovered in Novick’s studies on Sec4p.^[161] Following up on Novick’s

work, we observed in 1992 in collaboration with Reinhard Jahn that Rab3, the most abundant Rab protein in brain, is highly enriched on synaptic vesicles at rest but dissociates from the vesicles during exocytosis, suggesting a role in neurotransmitter release.^[19,20] Subsequent mouse genetic analyses of the four different Rab3 isoforms (Rab3A, 3B, 3C, and 3D) confirmed that Rab3 plays a central role in neurotransmitter release.^[128,162,163] Moreover, single Rab3 isoforms did perform essential functions on their own in that deletions of Rab3A or Rab3B caused major but distinct changes in short- and long-term forms of presynaptic plasticity.^[128,162–164]

In search for a mechanism of action for Rab3s, we initially tested the functional role of rabphilin, the first putative Rab3-effector identified by Yoshimi Takai.^[165] However, rabphilin deletions produced only minor changes in release, suggesting that it is not a major player.^[166,167]

We then searched for additional Rab3-effector proteins, defined by the GTP-dependent binding to Rab3 but not to other major Rabs. We identified RIMs (for “Rab3-interacting molecules”), a family of large multi-domain active zone proteins that are evolutionarily conserved.^[27,28] In mammals, four RIM-related genes are expressed, of which only two (*RIMS1* and *RIMS2*) produce proteins that contain the Rab3-binding domain.^[28,29] The *RIMS1* and *RIMS2* genes, however, include multiple independent promoters, resulting in five principal forms (RIM1 α , RIM1 β , RIM2 α , RIM2 β , and RIM2 γ) that are further diversified by extensive alternative splicing.

Subsequent studies extending over 15 years revealed that RIMs perform multiple functions in the active zone which extend far beyond their role as Rab3-effectors. As we will see below, RIMs are critical not only for tethering/docking synaptic vesicles, but also for recruiting Ca²⁺-channels to the active zone, for mediating short- and long-term presynaptic plasticity, and for activating the priming function of Munc13 proteins. As regards the tethering/docking function of RIMs that was suggested by their active zone localization and Rab3-binding, this function was first validated in *C. elegans*, which contains only a single RIM gene (referred to as *unc10*^[168,169]). However, in mice deletions of single RIM isoforms, including that of the predominant RIM1 α , did not detectably alter vesicle docking as analyzed by conventional electron microscopy,^[160] but double conditional knockouts that deleted all isoforms produced by the *RIMS1* and *RIMS2* genes exhibited a dramatic decrease in vesicle docking.^[115,170] Based on these studies, it is plausible that synaptic vesicles are tethered (“docked”) to active zones via a GTP-dependent binding of active zone RIM proteins to synaptic vesicle Rab3/27 proteins.

It should be noted that no other proteins besides RIMs were found to be essential for synaptic vesicle docking when such docking was analyzed in electron micrographs of chemically fixed and traditionally stained sections. However, a completely different picture emerges when electron microscopy is performed on unfixed, rapidly frozen tissue—now, a large number of additional genes were found to be essential for “docking”. In such preparations, even the single RIM1 α knockout exhibits a docking phenotype. However, it is

implausible that so many proteins tether vesicles without redundancy, and these phenotypes may more closely reflect priming than docking. Thus, although multiple molecules can contribute to the stable attachment of synaptic vesicles to the active zone, only RIMs appear to be truly required for docking. It should also be noted that “docking” of secretory granules in chromaffin cells behaves differently from docking of synaptic vesicles at the active zone. For example, the Syt1 KO blocks secretory granule docking^[171] but not synaptic vesicle docking.^[112,128] However, the Syt1 KO has only a small effect on Ca²⁺-triggered exocytosis in chromaffin cells in contrast to its large effect on synaptic exocytosis, probably because Syt1 is fully redundant with Syt7 in chromaffin exocytosis but not in synaptic exocytosis.^[121,125] This discrepancy between docking and exocytosis suggests that different from synapses, docking may not even be essential for exocytosis in chromaffin cells.

5.2. Priming Vesicles for Fusion

Priming is thought to transfer vesicles into a readily-releasable pool (RRP) of vesicles that are then competent for Ca²⁺-triggered fusion. A large number of proteins have been implicated in priming. In addition to those proteins that are involved in fusion itself (e.g., SNARE and SM proteins) and to complexin, the most important priming factors are probably Munc13 and RIMs that bind to each other.

Analyses largely carried out in Nils Brose's and Josep Rizo's laboratories revealed that Munc13 is essential for vesicle priming, probably because it catalyzes SNARE-complex assembly via its MUN domain.^[157,172] The purified MUN domain can facilitate the opening of “closed” Syntaxin-1 for subsequent SNARE-complex assembly, providing a mechanism for the phenotypes observed in mutant mice.^[173] A striking observation is that Munc13 function is tightly regulated by multiple signaling pathways. Among others, neuronal Munc13 isoforms contain a C1-domain N-terminal to the central Ca²⁺-binding C2-domain. The Munc13 C1-domain binds diacylglycerol physiologically, and is activated pharmacologically by phorbol esters.^[174] Diacylglycerol binding to the Munc13 C1-domain regulates synaptic function since mouse mutants lacking phorbol ester binding to Munc13-1 exhibit a dramatic impairment in priming and short-term plasticity.^[175] The Ca²⁺-binding C2-domain of Munc13s is equally important since it also significantly contributes to short-term plasticity of synapses.^[108] Finally, Munc13s bind to calmodulin which additionally modulates its function.^[176]

Deletions of RIMs also cause a major impairment in priming.^[160,168] The mechanism of this impairment, however, seems to be indirect because RIMs bind to Munc13s and activate Munc13 function.^[177] Specifically, the N-terminal sequence of RIMs includes a zinc-finger motif that avidly binds to the N-terminal Ca²⁺-independent C2-domain of Munc13.^[106,107] Without such binding, the Munc13-1 C2-domain forms a constitutive homodimer; upon RIM zinc-finger binding, the homodimer is converted into a RIM-Munc13 heterodimer. Strikingly, we found that the priming

impairment in RIM-deficient synapses can be at least partly suppressed by overexpression of an N-terminally truncated Munc13-1 mutant that lacks the N-terminal C2-domain and no longer homodimerizes, whereas overexpression of wild-type Munc13-1 has no effect.^[177] These observations portray at least one mechanism by which RIMs regulate the priming function of Munc13, consistent with an overall central function of RIMs in all active zone activities.

5.3. Recruiting Ca^{2+} -Channels to the Active Zone

In order to achieve fast synchronous neurotransmitter release that is precisely coupled to an action potential, the most important requirement is that Ca^{2+} -channels are localized at the active zone adjacent to docked and primed synaptic vesicles. Only such an arrangement produces the short Ca^{2+} -diffusion pathways required for the requisite speed of a synapse, and only a short Ca^{2+} -diffusion path can explain how the extremely brief presynaptic Ca^{2+} -transient triggers release—after all, the Ca^{2+} -sensors for neurotransmitter release and neuroendocrine exocytosis are the same, even though the latter is much slower than the former.

A molecular mechanism that explains how synapses achieve the required arrangement of Ca^{2+} -channels and synaptic vesicles emerged with the demonstration that RIMs collaborate with their binding partners RIM-BPs to recruit Ca^{2+} -channels to release sites (Figure 13).^[115] Since RIMs are also the tethering agents for synaptic vesicles and contribute crucially to vesicle priming, RIMs are thus the central elements in the organization of the active zone that enable the amazing properties of neurotransmitter release. This simple architecture of the active zone, whereby a single protein is the central agent in assembling all components at one location, is at the same time parsimonious and effective (Figure 14).

We found that RIMs directly and selectively bind to Ca^{2+} -channels expressed in presynaptic active zones. Similar to the identification of the role of RIMs in vesicle tethering/docking, however, identification of the role of RIMs in recruiting Ca^{2+} -channels to the active zone became only possible when we deleted all RIM isoforms from presynaptic terminals.^[115] We found that deletion of RIMs causes a decrease of presynaptic Ca^{2+} -influx, a loss of presynaptic Ca^{2+} -channels, and a loss of the tight coupling of a presynaptic action potential to release (Figure 13).^[115,170,178] RIMs perform their functions by forming

a large complex with the Ca^{2+} -channels, with other active zone proteins such as RIM-BPs (which in turn also bind to Ca^{2+} -channels) and Munc13-1, and with synaptic vesicles. The role of RIMs and RIM-BPs in recruiting Ca^{2+} -channels and docking vesicles to active zones is evolutionarily conserved,^[179,180] and represents a fundamental mechanism underlying synaptic transmission.




Figure 13. RIM deletion decreases presynaptic Ca^{2+} -transients: A) Isolated action potentials cause a rise in presynaptic Ca^{2+} -concentrations that is impaired by deletion of RIM proteins (RIM cDKO) but can be rescued by expression of a RIM1 fragment which binds to Ca^{2+} -channels (RIM-PASB). Data show representative traces of action potentials (top); line scans of Ca^{2+} -transients in presynaptic boutons induced by these action potentials, and monitored by fluorescence of the Ca^{2+} -indicator Fluo5F (middle); and quantifications of Ca^{2+} -transients (bottom). B) Summary plots of the time course of the intracellular Ca^{2+} -concentration in presynaptic terminals and in dendrites (inset) during an action potential. Data show average Ca^{2+} -concentrations monitored as shown in A in multiple independent experiments in control neurons, neurons lacking RIM proteins (cDKO), and RIM-deficient neurons that express a RIM fragment binding to Ca^{2+} -channels (cDKO + RIM-PASB). C) Same as (B), except that rescue of impaired Ca^{2+} -transients in RIM-deficient synapses was tested for full-length wild-type RIM1 α or for full-length RIM1 α lacking the PDZ-domain. All images are from Ref. [115].

6. Putting It All Together

The three levels of release that we have been studying—membrane fusion, Ca^{2+} -triggering of fusion, and the organization of the Ca^{2+} -controlled fusion machinery at the active zone—form a hierarchy of interdependent processes. Like a Russian doll, these three levels are nested into each other, with membrane fusion as the inner core, and the scaffolding organizing the various components into a single machine as the outer layer. Our work, together with that of others, uncovered a plausible mechanism explaining how the synaptic vesicle and the plasma membrane undergo rapid fusion during neurotransmitter release, how such fusion is triggered by Ca^{2+} , and how those processes are spatially organized in the presynaptic terminal, such that opening of Ca^{2+} -channels by an action potential allows rapid translation of the entering Ca^{2+} signal into a fusion event.

Together, the neurotransmitter release machinery that we uncovered accounts for the astounding speed and precision of Ca^{2+} -triggered release. Moreover, the overall design of this machinery and the identification of regulatory domains in this machinery suggest mechanisms to explain the dramatic




Figure 14. Schematic diagram of the RIM, RIM-BP, and Munc13 protein complex that binds simultaneously to Rab3/27 on the synaptic vesicle and to Ca^{2+} -channels on the plasma membrane, thereby mediating the tethering (“docking”) of vesicles at release sites, the priming of vesicles for release (arrow with dotted line), and the recruitment of Ca^{2+} -channels adjacent to tethered vesicles. RIM, RIM-BP, and Munc13 are multidomain proteins that form a tight complex which mediates three essential functions of active zones: recruitment of Ca^{2+} -channels to enable tight coupling of action potentials to release by localizing Ca^{2+} -influx next to the Ca^{2+} -sensor synaptotagmin; docking of vesicles at the release site; and Munc13-dependent priming of the fusion machinery composed of the SNARE syntaxin, SNAP-25, synaptobrevin/VAMP, and Munc18-1. Spheres denote Ca^{2+} -ions; of the domains shown, only C2-domains are specifically labeled. Other active zone proteins bind to the RIM/Munc13/RIM-BP complex such as α -liprins and ELKS, and contribute to release but are not shown. Modified from Ref. [115] and [3].

short- and long-term plasticity of release that plays a central role in determining circuit properties. Nevertheless, many crucial questions remain. For example, what are the physicochemical mechanisms underlying membrane fusion, how precisely do SNARE and SM proteins work, what is the role of the fusion machine as outlined here in disorders like Parkinson’s disease, how do presynaptic terminals undergo long-term structural changes during plasticity, and what is the role of plasticity in long-term memory? Moreover, what mechanisms render various types of synapses different from each other—why do inhibitory synapses for example often exhibit a higher release probability than excitatory synapses, and what mechanisms confer distinct forms of plasticity onto different types of synapses? How is the presynaptic active zone precisely aligned with the postsynaptic density, and how is the size of a synapse regulated? Much remains to be done, and I hope to see at least some of these intriguing questions addressed in my lifetime!

I thank my life-long mentors in science M. S. Brown, V. P. Whittaker, and J. L. Goldstein for continuous advice, and my co-workers and collaborators for invaluable guidance and support, in particular Reinhard Jahn, Robert E. Hammer, Nils Brose, Rafael Fernández-Chacón, Zhiping Pang, Irina Dulubova, Christian Rosenmund, and Josep Rizo. I am grateful to

the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, the NIMH, and NINDS for financial support for almost 30 years.

Received: June 18, 2014

Published online: October 22, 2014

- [1] B. Katz, *The Release of Neural Transmitter Substances*, Liverpool University Press, Liverpool, **1969**.
- [2] “Timing of neurotransmission at fast synapses in the mammalian brain”: B. L. Sabatini, W. G. Regehr, *Nature* **1996**, 384, 170–172.
- [3] “The presynaptic active zone”: T. C. Südhof, *Neuron* **2012**, 75, 11–25.
- [4] “Turnover of transmitter and synaptic vesicles at the frog neuromuscular junction”: B. Ceccarelli, W. P. Hurlbut, A. Mauro, *J. Cell Biol.* **1973**, 57, 499–524.
- [5] “Evidence for recycling of synaptic vesicle membrane during transmitter release at the frog neuromuscular junction”: J. E. Heuser, T. S. Reese, *J. Cell Biol.* **1973**, 57, 315–344.
- [6] “The morphology and acetylcholine content of isolated cerebral cortical synaptic vesicles”: V. P. Whittaker, M. N. Sheridan, *J. Neurochem.* **1965**, 12, 363–372.
- [7] “Proteins of synaptic vesicles involved in exocytosis and membrane recycling”: T. C. Südhof, R. Jahn, *Neuron* **1991**, 6, 665–677.
- [8] “Synaptophysin: A synaptic vesicle protein with four transmembrane regions and a novel cytoplasmic domain”: T. C. Südhof, et al., *Science* **1987**, 238, 1142–1144.
- [9] “The structure of cytochrome b561, a secretory vesicle-specific electron transport protein”: M. S. Perin, V. A. Fried, C. A. Slaughter, T. C. Südhof, *EMBO J.* **1988**, 7, 2697–2703.
- [10] “Synapsins: mosaics of shared and individual domains in a family of synaptic vesicle phosphoproteins”: T. C. Südhof, et al., *Science* **1989**, 245, 1474–1480.
- [11] “A synaptic vesicle membrane protein is conserved from mammals to *Drosophila*”: T. C. Südhof, M. Baumert, M. S. Perin, R. Jahn, *Neuron* **1989**, 2, 1475–1481.
- [12] “VAMP-1: a synaptic vesicle-associated integral membrane protein”: W. S. Trimble, D. M. Cowan, R. H. Scheller, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1988**, 85, 4538–4542.
- [13] “Human endomembrane H^{+} -pump strongly resembles the ATP-synthetase of archaebacteria”: T. C. Südhof, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1989**, 86, 6067–6071.
- [14] “Structure of the 116 kDa polypeptide of the clathrin-coated vesicle/synaptic vesicle proton pump”: M. S. Perin, et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* **1991**, 266, 3877–3881; “Structural and functional conservation of synaptotagmin (p65) in *Drosophila* and humans”: M. S. Perin, et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* **1991**, 266, 615–622.
- [15] “Phospholipid binding by a synaptic vesicle protein homologous to the regulatory region of protein kinase C”: M. S. Perin, et al., *Nature* **1990**, 345, 260–263.
- [16] “Synaptotagmin II: a novel differentially distributed form of synaptotagmin”: M. Geppert, B. T. Archer III, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1991**, 266, 13548–13552.
- [17] “ Ca^{2+} -dependent and Ca^{2+} -independent activities of neural and nonneural synaptotagmins”: C. Li, et al., *Nature* **1995**, 375, 594–599.
- [18] “Four additional members of the ras gene superfamily isolated by an oligonucleotide strategy: molecular cloning of YPT-related cDNAs from a rat brain library”: N. Touchot, P. Chardin, A. Tavittian, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1987**, 84, 8210–8214.
- [19] “Rab3 is a small GTP-binding protein exclusively localized to synaptic vesicles”: G. F. von Mollard, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1990**, 87, 1988–1992.

- [20] "A small GTP-binding protein (rab3A) dissociates from synaptic vesicles during exocytosis": G. F. von Mollard, T. C. Südhof, R. Jahn, *Nature* **1991**, *349*, 79–81.
- [21] "SVOP, an evolutionarily conserved synaptic vesicle protein, suggests novel transport functions of synaptic vesicles": R. Janz, K. Hofmann, T. C. Südhof, *J. Neurosci.* **1998**, *18*, 9269–9281.
- [22] "Novel SCAMPs lacking NPF repeats: ubiquitous and synaptic vesicle-specific forms implicate SCAMPs in multiple membrane-trafficking functions": R. Fernández-Chacón, T. C. Südhof, *J. Neurosci.* **2000**, *20*, 7941–7950.
- [23] "Synaptic vesicle fusion complex contains unc-18 homologue bound to syntaxin": Y. Hata, C. A. Slaughter, T. C. Südhof, *Nature* **1993**, *366*, 347–351.
- [24] "Complexins: cytosolic proteins that regulate SNAP-receptor function": H. T. McMahon, M. Missler, C. Li, T. C. Südhof, *Cell* **1995**, *83*, 111–119.
- [25] "Mammalian homologues of *C. elegans* unc-13 gene define novel family of C2-domain proteins": N. Brose, K. Hofmann, Y. Hata, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 25273–25280.
- [26] "CASK: A novel dlg/PSD95 homologue with an N-terminal CaM kinase domain identified by interaction with neuroligins": Y. Hata, S. Butz, T. C. Südhof, *J. Neurosci.* **1996**, *16*, 2488–2494.
- [27] "RIM: A putative Rab3-effector in regulating synaptic vesicle fusion": Y. Wang, et al., *Nature* **1997**, *388*, 593–598.
- [28] "The RIM/NIM family of neuronal SH3-domain proteins: interactions with Rab3 and a new class of neuronal SH3-domain proteins": Y. Wang, S. Sugita, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 20033–20044.
- [29] "A family of RIM-binding proteins regulated by alternative splicing: Implications for the genesis of synaptic active zones": Y. Wang, X. Liu, T. Biederer, T. C. Südhof, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2002**, *99*, 14464–14469.
- [30] "Cast: a novel protein of the cytomatrix at the active zone of synapses that forms a ternary complex with RIM1 and munc13-1": T. Ohtsuka, et al., *J. Cell Biol.* **2002**, *158*, 577–590.
- [31] "The liprin protein SYD-2 regulates the differentiation of presynaptic termini in *C. elegans*": M. Zhen, Y. Jin, *Nature* **1999**, *401*, 371–375.
- [32] "Bassoon, a novel zinc-finger CAG/glutamine-repeat protein selectively localized at the active zone of presynaptic nerve terminals": S. tom Dieck, et al., *J. Cell Biol.* **1998**, *142*, 499–509.
- [33] "Aczonin, a 550-kD putative scaffolding protein of presynaptic active zones, shares homology regions with Rim and Bassoon and binds profilin": X. Wang, et al., *J. Cell Biol.* **1999**, *147*, 151–162.
- [34] "Piccolo, a presynaptic zinc finger protein structurally related to bassoon": S. D. Fenster, et al., *Neuron* **2000**, *25*, 203–214.
- [35] "Short term synaptic plasticity is altered in mice lacking synapsin I": T. W. Rosahl, M. Geppert, D. Spillane, J. Herz, R. E. Hammer, R. C. Malenka, T. C. Südhof, *Cell* **1993**, *75*, 661–670.
- [36] "Essential roles in synaptic plasticity for synaptogyrin I and synaptophysin I": R. Janz, et al., *Neuron* **1999**, *24*, 687–700.
- [37] "Internalization and mechanism of action of clostridial toxins in neurons": C. Grumelli, et al., *Neurotoxicology* **2005**, *26*, 761–767.
- [38] "Tetanus toxin action: Inhibition of neurotransmitter release linked to synaptobrevin proteolysis": E. Link, et al., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1992**, *189*, 1017–1023.
- [39] "Tetanus and botulinum-B neurotoxins block neurotransmitter release by proteolytic cleavage of synaptobrevin": G. Schiavo, et al., *Nature* **1992**, *359*, 832–835.
- [40] a) "Botulinum neurotoxin A selectively cleaves the synaptic protein SNAP-25": J. Blasi, E. R. Chapman, E. Link, T. Binz, S. Yamasaki, P. De Camilli, T. C. Südhof, H. Niemann, R. Jahn, *Nature* **1993**, *365*, 160–163; "Botulinum neurotoxin C1 blocks neurotransmitter release by means of cleaving HPC-1/syntaxin": b) J. Blasi, E. R. Chapman, S. Yamasaki, T. Binz, H. Niemann, R. Jahn, *EMBO J.* **1993**, *12*, 4821–4828.
- [41] "Identification of the nerve terminal targets of botulinum neurotoxin serotypes, A, D and E": G. Schiavo, et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* **1993**, *268*, 23784–23787.
- [42] "Cellubrevin: A ubiquitous tetanus-toxin substrate homologous to a putative synaptic vesicle fusion protein": H. McMahon, et al., *Nature* **1993**, *364*, 346–349.
- [43] "Reconstitution of the transport of protein between successive compartments of the Golgi measured by the coupled incorporation of *N*-acetylglucosamine": W. E. Balch, W. G. Dunphy, W. A. Braell, J. E. Rothman, *Cell* **1984**, *39*, 405–416.
- [44] "A fusion protein required for vesicle-mediated transport in both mammalian cells and yeast": D. W. Wilson, et al., *Nature* **1989**, *339*, 355–359.
- [45] "SNAPs, a family of NSF attachment proteins involved in intracellular membrane fusion in animals and yeast": D. O. Clary, I. C. Griff, J. E. Rothman, *Cell* **1990**, *61*, 709–721.
- [46] "SNAP receptors implicated in vesicle targeting and fusion": T. Söllner, et al., *Nature* **1993**, *362*, 318–324.
- [47] "A protein assembly-disassembly pathway in vitro that may correspond to sequential steps of synaptic vesicle docking, activation, and fusion": T. Söllner, M. K. Bennett, S. W. Whiteheart, R. H. Scheller, J. E. Rothman, *Cell* **1993**, *75*, 409–418.
- [48] "Synaptic vesicle membrane fusion complex: Action of clostridial neurotoxins on assembly": T. Hayashi, et al., *EMBO J.* **1994**, *13*, 5051–5061.
- [49] "Synaptic core complex of synaptobrevin, syntaxin, and SNAPS forms high affinity α -SNAP binding site": H. T. McMahon, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 2213–2217.
- [50] "Membrane fusion machinery: Insights from synaptic proteins": T. C. Südhof, P. DeCamilli, H. Niemann, R. Jahn, *Cell* **1993**, *75*, 1–4.
- [51] "Sec18p (NSF)-driven release of Sec17p (α -SNAP) can precede docking and fusion of yeast vacuoles": A. Mayer, W. Wickner, A. Haas, *Cell* **1996**, *85*, 83–94.
- [52] "Structure and conformational changes in NSF and its membrane receptor complexes visualized by quick-freeze/deep-etch electron microscopy": P. I. Hanson, et al., *Cell* **1997**, *90*, 523–535.
- [53] "Structural organization of the synaptic exocytosis core complex": R. C. Lin, R. H. Scheller, *Neuron* **1997**, *19*, 1087–1094.
- [54] "The synaptic SNARE complex is a parallel four-stranded helical bundle": M. A. Poirier, et al., *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1998**, *5*, 765–769.
- [55] "Brünger at Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 Å resolution": R. B. Sutton, D. Fasshauer, R. Jahn, *Nature* **1998**, *395*, 347–353.
- [56] "SNAREpins: minimal machinery for membrane fusion": T. Weber, et al., *Cell* **1998**, *92*, 759–772.
- [57] "The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*": S. Brenner, *Genetics* **1974**, *77*, 71–94.
- [58] "Secretion and cell-surface growth are blocked in a temperature-sensitive mutant of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*": P. Novick, R. Schekman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1979**, *76*, 1858–1862.
- [59] "A rat brain Sec1 homologue related to Rop and UNC18 interacts with syntaxin": E. P. Garcia, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 2003–2007.
- [60] "n-Sec1: a neural-specific syntaxin-binding protein": J. Pevsner, S. C. Hsu, R. H. Scheller, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 1445–1449.
- [61] "The Membrane Fusion Enigma: SNAREs, SM Sec1/Munc18 Proteins, and Their Accomplices—Guilty as Charged?": J. Rizo, T. C. Südhof, *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2012**, *28*, 279–308.

- [62] "Mutations in the Drosophila Rop gene suggest a function in general secretion and synaptic transmission": S. D. Harrison, K. Broadie, J. van de Goor, G. M. Rubin, *Neuron* **1994**, *13*, 555–566.
- [63] "Synaptic assembly of the brain in the absence of neurotransmitter secretion": M. Verhage, et al., *Science* **2000**, *287*, 864–869.
- [64] "Sec1p binds to SNARE complexes and concentrates at sites of secretion": C. M. Carr, et al., *J. Cell Biol.* **1999**, *146*, 333–344.
- [65] "Ordering the final events in yeast exocytosis": E. Grote, C. M. Carr, P. J. Novick, *J. Cell Biol.* **2000**, *151*, 439–452.
- [66] "A conformational switch in syntaxin during exocytosis": I. Dulubova, et al., *EMBO J.* **1999**, *18*, 4372–4382.
- [67] "Three-dimensional structure of an evolutionarily conserved N-terminal domain of syntaxin 1A": I. Fernandez, et al., *Cell* **1998**, *94*, 841–849.
- [68] "Sly1 binds to Golgi and ER syntaxins via a conserved N-terminal peptide motif": T. Yamaguchi, et al., *Developmental Cell* **2002**, *2*, 295–305.
- [69] "How Tlg2p/syntaxin16 'snares' Vps45": I. Dulubova, et al., *EMBO J.* **2002**, *21*, 3620–3631.
- [70] "Munc18–1 Binds Directly to the Neuronal SNARE Complex": I. Dulubova, M. Khvotchev, T. C. Südhof, J. Rizo, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, *104*, 2697–2702.
- [71] "Selective activation of cognate SNAREpins by Sec1/Munc18 proteins": J. Shen, et al., *Cell* **2007**, *128*, 183–195.
- [72] "Dual Modes of Munc18-1/SNARE Interactions Are Coupled by Functionally Critical Binding to Syntaxin-1 N-terminus": M. Khvotchev, et al., *J. Neurosci.* **2007**, *27*, 12147–12155.
- [73] "Munc18-1 Binding to the Neuronal SNARE Complex Controls Synaptic Vesicle Priming": F. Deák, Y. Xu, W.-P. Chang, I. Dulubova, M. Khvotchev, X. Liu, T. C. Südhof, J. Rizo, *J. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *184*, 751–764.
- [74] "Munc18–1 mutations that strongly impair SNARE-complex binding support normal synaptic transmission": M. Meijer, et al., *EMBO J.* **2012**, *31*, 2156–2168.
- [75] "Syntaxin N-terminal peptide motif is an initiation factor for the assembly of the SNARE-Sec1/Munc18 membrane fusion complex": S. S. Rathore, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 22399–22406.
- [76] "Lipid-Anchored SNARE Lacking Transmembrane Regions Support Membrane Fusion During Neurotransmitter Release": P. Zhou, et al., *Neuron* **2013**, *80*, 470–483.
- [77] "Conformational Switch of Syntaxin-1 Controls Synaptic Vesicle Fusion": S. H. Gerber, et al., *Science* **2008**, *321*, 1507–1510.
- [78] "Microsecond Dissection of Neurotransmitter Release: SNARE-Complex Assembly Dictates Speed and Ca²⁺-Sensitivity": C. Acuna, Q. Guo, J. Burre, M. Sharma, J. Sun, T. C. Südhof, *Neuron* **2014**, *82*, 1088–1100.
- [79] "Syntaxin-1 N-Peptide and Habc-Domain Perform Distinct Essential Functions in Synaptic Vesicle Fusion": P. Zhou, et al., *EMBO J.* **2013**, *32*, 159–171.
- [80] "Helical extension of the neuronal SNARE complex into the membrane": A. Stein, G. Weber, M. C. Wahl, R. Jahn, *Nature* **2009**, *460*, 525–528.
- [81] "Extensive lipidation of a Torpedo cysteine string protein": C. B. Gunderson, A. Mastrogiacomo, K. Faull, J. A. Umbach, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1994**, *269*, 19197–19199.
- [82] "Synuclein: a neuron-specific protein localized to the nucleus and presynaptic nerve terminal": L. Maroteaux, J. T. Campanelli, R. H. Scheller, *J. Neurosci.* **1988**, *8*, 2804–2815.
- [83] "The synaptic vesicle protein CSP α prevents presynaptic degeneration": R. Fernández-Chacón, et al., *Neuron* **2004**, *42*, 237–251.
- [84] " α -Synuclein Cooperates with CSP α in Preventing Neurodegeneration": S. Chandra, et al., *Cell* **2005**, *123*, 383–396.
- [85] "A trimeric protein complex functions as a synaptic chaperone machine": S. Tobaben, et al., *Neuron* **2001**, *31*, 987–999.
- [86] "CSP α Knockout Causes Neurodegeneration by Impairing SNAP-25 Function": M. Sharma, et al., *EMBO J.* **2011**, *31*, 829–841.
- [87] "CSP α Promotes SNARE-Complex Assembly by Chaperoning SNAP-25 during Synaptic Activity": M. Sharma, J. Burré, T. C. Südhof, *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2011**, *13*, 30–39.
- [88] "Proteasome Inhibition Alleviates SNARE-Dependent Neurodegeneration in CSP α Knockout Mice": M. Sharma, J. Burré, T. C. Südhof, *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2012**, *4*, 147ra113.
- [89] " α -Synuclein Promotes SNARE-Complex Assembly in Vivo and in Vitro": J. Burré, et al., *Science* **2010**, *329*, 1664–1668.
- [90] "Identification of a synaptic vesicle-specific membrane protein with a wide distribution in neuronal and neurosecretory tissue": W. D. Matthew, L. Tsavaler, L. F. Reichardt, *J. Cell Biol.* **1981**, *91*, 257–269.
- [91] "Multiple, distinct forms of bovine and human protein kinase C suggest diversity in cellular signaling pathways": L. Coussens, et al., *Science* **1986**, *233*, 859–866.
- [92] "Synaptotagmin: A Ca²⁺-sensor on the synaptic vesicle surface": N. Brose, A. G. Petrenko, T. C. Südhof, R. Jahn, *Science* **1992**, *256*, 1021–1025.
- [93] "A single C2-domain from synaptotagmin I is sufficient for high affinity Ca²⁺/phospholipid-binding": B. A. Davletov, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1993**, *268*, 26386–26390.
- [94] "Ca²⁺-dependent conformational change in synaptotagmin I": B. A. Davletov, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1994**, *269*, 28547–28550.
- [95] "Distinct Ca²⁺- and Sr²⁺-binding properties of synaptotagmins: definition of candidate Ca²⁺-sensors for the fast and slow components of neurotransmitter release": C. Li, B. A. Davletov, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 24898–24902.
- [96] "Ca²⁺ regulates the interaction between synaptotagmin and syntaxin 1": E. R. Chapman, P. I. Hanson, S. An, R. Jahn, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 23667–23671.
- [97] "Structure of the first C2-domain of synaptotagmin I: A novel Ca²⁺/phospholipid binding fold": A. B. Sutton, et al., *Cell* **1995**, *80*, 929–938.
- [98] "Bipartite Ca²⁺-binding motif in C2 domains of synaptotagmin and protein kinase C": X. Shao, et al., *Science* **1996**, *273*, 248–251.
- [99] "Synaptotagmin-syntaxin interaction: the C2-domain as a Ca²⁺-dependent electrostatic switch": X. Shao, et al., *Neuron* **1997**, *18*, 133–142.
- [100] "Ca²⁺ binding to synaptotagmin: how many Ca²⁺ ions bind to the tip of a C2-domain?": J. Ubach, X. Zhang, X. Shao, T. C. Südhof, J. Rizo, *EMBO J.* **1998**, *17*, 3921–3930.
- [101] "The C2B domain of synaptotagmin I is a monomeric Ca²⁺-binding module": J. Ubach, Y. Lao, I. Fernandez, A. Demet, T. C. Südhof, J. Rizo, *Biochemistry* **2001**, *40*, 5854–5860.
- [102] "Three-dimensional structure of the synaptotagmin 1 C2B-domain: Synaptotagmin 1 as a phospholipid binding machine": I. Fernandez, et al., *Neuron* **2001**, *32*, 1057–1069.
- [103] "C2-domains, structure of a universal Ca²⁺-binding domain": J. Rizo, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 15879–15882.
- [104] "Signaling through C2 domains: More than one lipid target": S. Corbalan-Garcia, J. C. Gómez-Fernández, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **2014**, *1838*, 1536–1547.
- [105] "Crystal structure of the PTEN tumor suppressor: implications for its phosphoinositide phosphatase activity and membrane association": J. O. Lee, et al., *Cell* **1999**, *99*, 323–334.
- [106] "A Munc13/RIM/Rab3 Tripartite Complex: From Priming to Plasticity?": I. Dulubova, et al., *EMBO J.* **2005**, *24*, 2839–2850.
- [107] "Structural Basis for a Munc13–1 Homodimer-Munc13–1/RIM Heterodimer Switch: C2-domains as Versatile Protein-

- Protein Interaction Modules”: J. Lu, et al., *PLOS Biol.* **2006**, *4*, e192.
- [108] “Munc13 C2B-domain—an activity-dependent Ca^{2+} -regulator of synaptic exocytosis”: O.-H. Shin et al., *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2010**, *17*, 280–288.
- [109] “Mutational analysis of Drosophila synaptotagmin demonstrates its essential role in Ca^{2+} -activated neurotransmitter release”: J. T. Littleton, et al., *Cell* **1993**, *74*, 1125–1134.
- [110] “Synaptic transmission persists in synaptotagmin mutants of Drosophila”: A. DiAntonio, K. D. Parfitt, T. L. Schwarz, *Cell* **1993**, *73*, 1281–1290.
- [111] “Synaptic function is impaired but not eliminated in *C. elegans* mutants lacking synaptotagmin”: M. L. Nonet, K. Grundahl, B. J. Meyer, J. B. Rand, *Cell* **1993**, *73*, 1291–1305.
- [112] “Synaptotagmin I: A major Ca^{2+} -sensor for transmitter release at a central synapse”: M. Geppert, et al., *Cell* **1994**, *79*, 717–727.
- [113] “Autonomous Function of Synaptotagmin 1 in Triggering Synchronous Release Independent of Asynchronous Release”: A. Maximov, T. C. Südhof, *Neuron* **2005**, *48*, 547–554.
- [114] “Synaptotagmin-1 functions as a Ca^{2+} sensor for spontaneous release”: J. Xu, Z. P. Pang, O. H. Shin, T. C. Südhof, *Nat. Neurosci.* **2009**, *12*, 759–766.
- [115] “RIM proteins tether Ca^{2+} -channels to presynaptic active zones via a direct PDZ-domain interaction”: P. S. Kaeser, et al., *Cell* **2011**, *144*, 282–295.
- [116] “Mice sans synaptotagmin”: E. Neher, R. Penner, *Nature* **1994**, *372*, 316–317.
- [117] “Synaptotagmin I functions as a Ca^{2+} -regulator of release probability”: R. Fernández-Chacón, et al., *Nature* **2001**, *410*, 41–49.
- [118] “A gain-of-function mutation in Synaptotagmin-1 reveals a critical role of Ca^{2+} -dependent SNARE-complex binding in synaptic exocytosis”: Z. P. Pang, et al., *J. Neurosci.* **2006**, *26*, 12556–12565.
- [119] “Intracellular calcium dependence of large dense-core vesicle exocytosis in the absence of synaptotagmin I”: T. Voets, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2001**, *98*, 11680–11685.
- [120] “Examining synaptotagmin 1 function in dense core vesicle exocytosis under direct control of Ca^{2+} ”: J. B. Sørensen, R. Fernández-Chacón, T. C. Südhof, E. Neher, *J. Gen. Physiol.* **2003**, *122*, 265–276.
- [121] “Synaptotagmin-1 and -7 are functionally overlapping Ca^{2+} sensors for exocytosis in adrenal chromaffin cells”: J. Schonn, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 3998–4003.
- [122] “Mutations in the second C2 domain of synaptotagmin disrupt synaptic transmission at Drosophila neuromuscular junctions”: J. M. Mackler, N. E. Reist, *J. Comp. Neurol.* **2001**, *436*, 4–16.
- [123] “Synaptotagmin I and IV promote transmitter release independently of Ca^{2+} binding in the C2A domain”: I. M. Robinson, R. Ranjan, T. L. Schwarz, *Nature* **2002**, *418*, 336–340.
- [124] “Differential but convergent functions of Ca^{2+} -binding to Synaptotagmin-1 C2-domains mediate neurotransmitter release”: O.-H. Shin, J. Xu, J. Rizo, T. C. Südhof, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2009**, *106*, 16469–16474.
- [125] “Synaptotagmin-1, -2, and -9: Ca^{2+} -sensors for fast release that specify distinct presynaptic properties in subsets of neurons”: J. Xu, T. Mashimo, T. C. Südhof, *Neuron* **2006**, *54*, 801–812.
- [126] “Genetic Analysis of Synaptotagmin 2 in Spontaneous and Ca^{2+} -Triggered Neurotransmitter Release”: Z. P. Pang, et al., *EMBO J.* **2007**, *25*, 2039–2050.
- [127] “A Dual Ca^{2+} -Sensor Model for Neuro-transmitter Release in a Central Synapse”: J. Sun, et al., *Nature* **2007**, *450*, 676–682.
- [128] “The role of Rab3A in neurotransmitter release”: M. Geppert, et al., *Nature* **1994**, *369*, 493–497.
- [129] “Direct patch recording from identified presynaptic terminals mediating glutamatergic EPSCs in the rat CNS, in vitro”: I. D. Forsythe, *J. Physiol.* **1994**, *479* (Pt 3), 381–387.
- [130] “Calcium influx and transmitter release in a fast CNS synapse”: J. G. Borst, B. Sakmann, *Nature* **1996**, *383*, 431–434.
- [131] “Calcium sensitivity of glutamate release in a calyx-type terminal”: J. H. Bollmann, B. Sakmann, J. G. Borst, *Science* **2000**, *289*, 953–957.
- [132] “Intracellular calcium dependence of transmitter release rates at a fast central synapse”: R. Schneggenburger, E. Neher, *Nature* **2000**, *406*, 889–893.
- [133] “Distinct Neuronal Coding Schemes in Memory Revealed by Selective Erasure of Fast Synchronous Synaptic Transmission”: W. Xu, W. Morishita, P. S. Buckmaster, Z. P. Pang, R. C. Malenka, T. C. Südhof, *Neuron* **2012**, *73*, 990–1001.
- [134] “Synaptotagmin VII as a plasma membrane Ca^{2+} -sensor in exocytosis”: S. Sugita, et al., *Neuron* **2001**, *30*, 459–473.
- [135] “Impaired insulin secretion and glucose intolerance in Synaptotagmin-7 null mutant mice”: N. Gustavsson, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 3992–3997.
- [136] “Synaptotagmin-7 is a principle Ca^{2+} sensor for Ca^{2+} -induced glucagon exocytosis in pancreas”: N. Gustavsson, et al., *J. Physiol.* **2009**, *587*, 1169–1178.
- [137] “Synaptotagmin-1 and -7 are functionally overlapping Ca^{2+} sensors for exocytosis in adrenal chromaffin cells”: J. Schonn, A. Maximov, Y. Lao, T. C. Südhof, J. B. Sørensen, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 3998–4003.
- [138] “Distinct roles for two synaptotagmin isoforms in synchronous and asynchronous transmitter release at zebrafish neuromuscular junction”: H. Wen, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 13906–13911.
- [139] “Genetic analysis of Synaptotagmin-7 function in synaptic vesicle exocytosis”: A. Maximov, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 3986–3991.
- [140] “Synaptotagmin-1 and -7 Trigger Synchronous and Asynchronous Phases of Neurotransmitter Release”: T. Bacaj, et al., *Neuron* **2013**, *80*, 947–959.
- [141] “Synaptotagmins form a hierarchy of exocytotic Ca^{2+} -sensors with distinct Ca^{2+} -affinities”: S. Sugita, et al., *EMBO J.* **2002**, *21*, 270–280.
- [142] “Synaphin: a protein associated with the docking/fusion complex in presynaptic terminals”: T. Ishizuka, H. Saisu, S. Odani, T. Abe, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1995**, *213*, 1107–1114.
- [143] “Three-dimensional structure of the complexin/SNARE complex”: X. Chen, et al., *Neuron* **2002**, *33*, 397–409.
- [144] “Complexins regulate the Ca^{2+} -sensitivity of the synaptic neurotransmitter release machinery”: K. Reim, et al., *Cell* **2001**, *104*, 71–81.
- [145] “A Complexin/Synaptotagmin-1 Switch Controls Fast Synaptic Vesicle Exocytosis”: J. Tang, et al., *Cell* **2006**, *126*, 1175–1187.
- [146] “A complexin fusion clamp regulates spontaneous neurotransmitter release and synaptic growth”: S. Huntwork, J. T. Littleton, *Nat. Neurosci.* **2007**, *10*, 1235–1237.
- [147] “Complexin Controls the Force Transfer from SNARE complexes to membranes in Fusion”: A. Maximov, et al., *Science* **2009**, *323*, 516–521.
- [148] “Complexin Clamps Asynchronous Release by Blocking a Secondary Ca^{2+} -Sensor via its Accessory α -Helix”: X. Yang, et al., *Neuron* **2010**, *68*, 907–920.
- [149] “A clamping mechanism involved in SNARE-dependent exocytosis”: C. G. Giraudo, W. S. Eng, T. J. Melia, J. E. Rothman, *Science* **2006**, *313*, 676–680.
- [150] “Distinct domains of complexin I differentially regulate neurotransmitter release”: M. Xue, et al., *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2007**, *14*, 949–958.

- [151] "C-terminal Complexin Sequence is Selectively Required for Clamping and Priming but Not for Ca^{2+} -Triggering of Synaptic Exocytosis": Y. J. Kaeser-Woo, X. Yang, T. C. Südhof, *J. Neurosci.* **2012**, 32, 2877–2885.
- [152] "Deconstructing complexin function in activating and clamping Ca^{2+} -triggered exocytosis by comparing knockout and knock-down phenotypes": X. Yang, P. Cao, T. C. Südhof, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, 110, 20777–20782.
- [153] "Complexin Activates Exocytosis of Distinct Secretory Vesicles Controlled by Different Synaptotagmins": P. Cao, X. Yang, T. C. Südhof, *J. Neurosci.* **2013**, 33, 1714–1727.
- [154] " Sr^{2+} -Binding to the Ca^{2+} -Binding Site of the Synaptotagmin 1 C2B-Domain Triggers Fast Exocytosis Without Stimulating SNARE Interactions": O.-H. Shin, et al., *Neuron* **2003**, 37, 99–108.
- [155] "Activity-Dependent IGF-1 Exocytosis is Controlled by the Ca^{2+} -Sensor Synaptotagmin-10": P. Cao, A. Maximov, T. C. Südhof, *Cell* **2011**, 145, 300–311.
- [156] "Postsynaptic Complexin Controls AMPA Receptor Exocytosis During LTP": M. Ahmad, et al., *Neuron* **2012**, 73, 260–267.
- [157] "Munc-13 is essential for fusion competence of glutamatergic synaptic vesicles": I. Augustin, C. Rosenmund, T. C. Südhof, N. Brose, *Nature* **1999**, 400, 457–461.
- [158] "A family of RIM-binding proteins regulated by alternative splicing: Implications for the genesis of synaptic active zones": Y. Wang, X. Liu, T. Biederer, T. C. Südhof, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2002**, 99, 14464–14469; "Genomic Definition of RIM Proteins: Evolutionary Amplification of a Family of Synaptic Regulatory Proteins": Y. Wang, T. C. Südhof, *Genomics* **2003**, 81, 126–137.
- [159] "Functional interaction of the active zone proteins Munc13-1 and RIM1 in synaptic vesicle priming": A. Betz, et al., *Neuron* **2001**, 30, 183–196.
- [160] "RIM1 α forms a protein scaffold for regulating neurotransmitter release at the active zone": S. Schoch, et al., *Nature* **2002**, 415, 321–326.
- [161] "A ras-like protein is required for a post-Golgi event in yeast secretion": A. Salminen, P. J. Novick, *Cell* **1987**, 49, 527–538.
- [162] "A complete genetic analysis of neuronal Rab3 function": O. M. Schlüter, et al., *J. Neurosci.* **2004**, 24, 6629–6637.
- [163] "Rab3 Superprimes Synaptic Vesicles for Release: Implications for Short Term Synaptic Plasticity": O. M. Schlüter, T. C. Südhof, C. Rosenmund, *J. Neurosci.* **2006**, 26, 1239–1246.
- [164] "Rab3B protein is required for long-term depression of hippocampal inhibitory synapses and for normal reversal learning": T. Tsetsenis, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, 108, 14300–14305.
- [165] "Rabphilin-3A, a putative target protein for smg p25A/rab3A p25 small GTP-binding protein related to synaptotagmin": H. Shirataki, et al., *Mol. Cell Biol.* **1993**, 13, 2061–2068.
- [166] "Rabphilin knock-out mice reveal rat rabphilin is not required for rab3 function in regulating neurotransmitter release": O. M. Schlüter, et al., *J. Neurosci.* **1999**, 19, 5834–5846.
- [167] "Rabphilin Regulates SNARE-Dependent Re-Priming of Synaptic Vesicles for Fusion": F. Deák, et al., *EMBO J.* **2006**, 25, 2856–2866.
- [168] "A post-docking role for active zone protein Rim": S. P. Koushika, et al., *Nat. Neurosci.* **2001**, 4, 997–1005.
- [169] "Direct interactions between *C. elegans* RAB-3 and Rim provide a mechanism to target vesicles to the presynaptic density": E. O. Gracheva, G. Hadwiger, M. L. Nonet, J. E. Richmond, *Neurosci. Lett.* **2008**, 444, 137–142.
- [170] "RIM determines Ca^{2+} -channel density and vesicle docking at the presynaptic active zone": Y. Han, P. S. Kaeser, T. C. Südhof, R. Schneggenburger, *Neuron* **2011**, 69, 304–316.
- [171] "Synaptotagmin-1 docks secretory vesicles to Syntaxin-1/SNAP-25 acceptor complexes": H. de Wit, et al., *Cell* **2009**, 138, 935–946.
- [172] "Total arrest of spontaneous and evoked synaptic transmission but normal synaptogenesis in the absence of Munc13-mediated vesicle priming": F. Varoqueaux, et al., *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **2002**, 99, 9037–9042.
- [173] "Munc13 mediates the transition from the closed syntaxin-Munc18 complex to the SNARE complex": C. Ma, W. Li, Y. Xu, J. Rizo, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2011**, 18, 542–549.
- [174] "Munc13-1 is a presynaptic phorbol ester receptor that enhances neurotransmitter release": A. Betz, et al., *Neuron* **1998**, 21, 123–136.
- [175] "Beta phorbol ester- and diacylglycerol-induced augmentation of transmitter release is mediated by Munc13s and not by PKCs": J. S. Rhee, et al., *Cell* **2002**, 108, 121–133.
- [176] "Dynamic control of synaptic vesicle replenishment and short-term plasticity by Ca^{2+} -calmodulin-Munc13-1 signaling": N. Lipstein, et al., *Neuron* **2013**, 79, 82–96.
- [177] "RIM Proteins Activate Vesicle Priming by Reversing Auto-Inhibitory Homodimerization of Munc13": L. Deng, P. S. Kaeser, W. Xu, T. C. Südhof, *Neuron* **2011**, 69, 317–331.
- [178] "RIM Genes Differentially Contribute to Organizing Presynaptic Release Sites": P. S. Kaeser, L. Deng, M. Fan, T. C. Südhof, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, 109, 11830–11835.
- [179] "RIM-binding protein, a central part of the active zone, is essential for neurotransmitter release": K. S. Liu, et al., *Science* **2011**, 334, 1565–1569.
- [180] "RIM promotes calcium channel accumulation at active zones of the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction": E. R. Graf, et al., *J. Neurosci.* **2012**, 32, 16586–16596.
- [181] "Morphological and biochemical heterogeneity of cholinergic synaptic vesicles": H. Zimmermann, V. P. Whittaker, *Nature* **1977**, 267, 633–635.
- [182] "The synaptic vesicle cycle": T. C. Südhof, *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **2004**, 27, 509–547.
- [183] "Solution structures of the Ca^{2+} -free and Ca^{2+} -bound C2A domain of synaptotagmin I: does Ca^{2+} induce a conformational change?": X. Shao, I. Fernandez, T. C. Südhof, J. Rizo, *Biochemistry* **1998**, 37, 16106–16115.
- [184] "Three-dimensional structure of an evolutionarily conserved N-terminal domain of syntaxin 1A": I. Fernandez, J. Ubach, I. Dulubova, X. Zhang, T. C. Südhof, J. Rizo, *Cell* **1998**, 94, 841–849.
- [185] "Neurotransmitter release: The last millisecond in the life of a synaptic vesicle": T. C. Südhof, *Neuron* **2013**, 80, 675–690; "Membrane Fusion: Grappling with SNARE and SM Proteins": T. C. Südhof, J. E. Rothman, *Science* **2009**, 323, 474–477; "A molecular machine for neurotransmitter release: Synaptotagmin and beyond": T. C. Südhof, *Nat. Med.* **2013**, 19, 1227–1231.
- [186] "Synaptotagmin-1 and -7 are functionally overlapping Ca^{2+} sensors for exocytosis in adrenal chromaffin cells": J. Schonn, A. Maximov, Y. Lao, T. C. Südhof, J. B. Sørensen, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, 105, 3998–4003.
- [187] "Synaptotagmin-2 is Essential for Survival and Contributes to Ca^{2+} -Triggering of Neurotransmitter Release in Central and Neuromuscular Synapses": Z. P. Pang, et al., *J. Neurosci.* **2007**, 26, 13493–13504.
- [188] "Short-term presynaptic plasticity": W. G. Regehr, *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* **2012**, 4, a005702.
- [189] "Distinct Ca^{2+} dependent properties of the first and second C2-domains of synaptotagmin I": S. Sugita, Y. Hata, T. C. Südhof, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1996**, 271, 1262–1265.